

205 Nebo Road, Unit 4B Hamilton, Ontario L8W 2E1



Phone: 905-383-3733 engineering@landtek.ca www.landtek.ca

Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation Future Mid- to High-Rise Development 3275 Trafalgar Road Oakville, Ontario

Prepared for:

New Horizon Development Group 3170 Harvester Road #200 Burlington, Ontario L7N 3W8

> Landtek File: 21261 May 22, 2024

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATIONS ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENTS & CLEANUP GROUNDWATER STUDIES SLOPE STABILITY STUDIES
 ASPHALT TECHNOLOGY ASPHALT MIX DESIGNS PAVEMENT PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS TESTING & INSPECTION
 ANALYSIS OF SOIL CORROSION POTENTIAL PAVEMENT REHABILITATION & TENDER SPECIFICATIONS CONCRETE QUALITY ASSURANCE TESTING
 ROOF/STEEL INSPECTIONS HYDROGEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENTS FAILURE ANALYSES & EXPERT WITNESS SERVICES AGGREGATE EVALUATION

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

	SCOPE OF SERVICES		
Proposed Development	Though no conceptual development plan was available at the time of authoring this report, it is understood that any future development at the site would likely be of a medium to high density (i.e., mid- to high-rise structures), residential or mixed residential/commercial end use. Such a development would include for two to four levels of underground basement, localised landscaping, new site services and at-grade, private and/or Municipally-adopted pavement structures.		
Report Deliverables	of the subsurface conditions underlying the site and to provide preliminary design considerations for the proposed residential development concept.		
	SITE DETAILS AND SETTING		
Coordinates	602900, 4816725 Geodetic Elevation 177.0 m to 183.0 m		
Site Description	The site is approximately 38,600 m2 (3.86 hectares) in plan area and is rectangular in shape. The site is situated on Trafalgar Road and is bound to the east by a soon-to-be-developed area, and to the north and west by agricultural land. The topography of the site is variable, with a number of shallow valleys and plateaus across the site. Shallow ponds and wetland areas are also noted in the central and southern areas of the site area.		
Geology	Organic soil and existing pavement materials were encountered at the ground surface. Interbedded deposits of silty clay, clayey silt, silt till and clayey silt till were encountered below the topsoil and fill materials, and extend to depths of between approximately 1.5 to 6.0 m below the ground surface. Red shale of the Queenston Formation was encountered in all boreholes extends to the terminus of the boreholes at a maximum drill depth of approximately 18.7 m.		
Groundwater	Groundwater was encountered during drilling only in boreholes BH/MW101-'20, BH2-'23, BH/MW103, BH116 and BH/MW121 at depths between approximately 2.7 m and 5.2 m below the ground surface. Twenty-two return, groundwater monitoring well visits have been completed at the site to date since 2021, the most recent results of which are presented in Table 4.9.1.		
	ENGINEERING CONSIDERATIONS		
Foundations	The fill materials encountered at the site are not considered suitable as bearing strata. It is considered by Landtek that the anticipated moderate-to high-loading of the proposed mid- and high-rise structures can be supported by the native soils or shallow bedrock underlying the site using conventional, concrete strip or pads foundations.		
Settlements	The general limiting of the total settlement to 25 mm and the differential settlement to 19 mm by the recommended geotechnical reaction at the SLS is considered appropriate for foundations in native soils. Ordinarily, the SLS condition would not govern foundation design in bedrock, however, the associated settlements should be taken as those for soils.		
Earthquake Considerations	Based on the soil conditions encountered, and in accordance with Table 4.1.8.4.A. of the current Ontario Building Code (<i>OBC</i>), the site is considered to be a 'C' Site Class.		
At-grade Floor Slabs	It should be possible to construct the lowest (i.e., basement) floor slab level using slab-on-grade methods. The subgrade support conditions are anticipated to be clayey silt till, silt till or weathered red shale, which should provide competent conditions for placing the vapour barrier material.		
	CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS		
Excavations	The subsurface soils to be encountered during excavation at the site are expected to behave as "Type 2" and "Type 3" materials according to the OHSA classification in Part III. Type 2 soils are characteristic of the generally very stiff "clayey silt till" and the generally dense "silt till", with the previously excavated "fill materials" behaving as Type 3 soils.		
Subsurface Concrete	Experience in the area indicates that the native soils generally have a mild sulphate environment and a low chloride concentration. It is recommended that subsurface concrete at the site have general use (GU) characteristics for normal Portland cement mixes. For the parking garage decks and ramps it is recommended that the concrete exposure class be C-1.		
Construction Dewatering	Considerations regarding groundwater levels, construction dewatering, dewatering rates and requirements towards project registration with the Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (ESAR) or a Permit To Take Water (PTTW) are provided by the Hydrogeological Assessment for the site, as reported under separate cover.		



1.0	INTRODUCTION	. 3
2.0	SITE SETTING	. 4
2.1	Site Location and Description	. 4
2.2	Published Geology	. 4
2.3		. 5
3.0		. 10
4.0	SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS	. 1
4.1 4.2	Overview	. / 7
4.3	Organic Soils	. 7
4.4	Fill Material	. 7
4.5	Silty Clay and Clayey Silt	.7 .8
4.7	Clayey Silt Till	. 0 . 8
4.8	Bedrock	. 8
4.9	Groundwater	. 9
5.0	FOUNDATION DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS	10
5.1	Shallow Foundation Considerations	10
5.2 5.3	Prost Susceptibility	11 12
5.4	Settlement Considerations	13
5.5	Seismic Design Considerations	13
5.6	Damp Proofing and Waterproofing Considerations	13
6.0	FLOOR SLAB AND PERIMETER DRAINAGE CONSIDERATIONS	14
7.0	EARTH PRESSURE CONSIDERATIONS FOR SUBSURFACE WALLS	15
8.0	SUBSURFACE CONCRETE	17
8.1	Concrete Class Considerations	17
8.2	Methods for Specifying Concrete	17
9.0	EXCAVATION AND BACKFILL CONSIDERATIONS	18
9.1	Excavation Considerations for Soils	18
9.2 9.3	Short-Term (Construction) Dewatering Considerations	20
9.4	General Backfill Considerations	20
10.0	TEMPORARY SHORING CONSIDERATIONS	21
11.0	SITE SERVICING CONSIDERATIONS	22
12.0	SOIL MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS	23
12.1	Organic and Deleterious Materials	23
12.2	Materials Reuse Management	23
13.0	PAVEMENT CONSIDERATIONS	24
13.1	At-Grade Pavement Design Considerations	24
13.2	Sub-grade Preparation and Drainage	24 25
13.3	Sidewalk Considerations	25
44.0	CLOSURE	26



18

TABLES

Table 4.9.1 Table 5.1.1 Table 7.1	Summary of Water Level Measurements Limit State Foundation Design Values Recommended Lateral Earth Pressure Parameters	9 10 15
	Recommended Pavement Structure Layer Thicknesses	24
FIGURES		
Figure 2.1.1	Site Location and Surrounding Area	2
Figure 5.1.1	Illustration of Load Distribution below Variable Size Foundations	44
	with the Same Applied Loading	11
Figure 9.1.1	Criteria for Assessing Excavation Shoring Requirements	

(Not to Scale).....

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Limitations of Report
Appendix B	Symbols and Terms Used in the Report
	Classification of Soils for Engineering Purposes
Appendix C	Drawing 21261-01: Borehole Location Plan
	Borehole Logs
Appendix D	Rock Strength Laboratory Testing Results
Appendix E	Drawing 21261-02 - Engineering Commentaries – General Requirements for
	Drainage to Basement Structures
	Drawing 21261-03 - Engineering Commentaries – General Requirements
	for Underfloor Drainage System



1.0 INTRODUCTION

Landtek Limited (herein "*Landtek*") is pleased to submit this Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation report for the proposed new residential subdivision development located at the site identified as civic addresses 3275 Trafalgar Road in Oakville, Ontario. Authorization to proceed with the work was received from New Horizon Development Group (herein "*NHDG*") on August 25, 2021.

Though no conceptual development plan was available at the time of authoring this report, it is understood that any future development at the site would likely be of a medium to high density (i.e., mid- to high-rise structures), residential or mixed residential/commercial end use. Such a development would include for two to four levels of underground basement, localised landscaping, new site services and at-grade, private and/or Municipally-adopted pavement structures.

The primary objectives of this investigation are:

- To provide a preliminary understanding of the subsurface soil and groundwater conditions for outline foundation design;
- Provide preliminary design recommendations with regards to building foundations, at-grade floor slabs, deck and at-grade pavement structures, and subsurface drainage and utilities; and,
- Assess the characteristics of the soils to be excavated and their anticipated impact on excavatability, reuse and shoring systems.

This Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation report has been prepared for the Client, the nominated engineers, designers, and project managers pertaining to the proposed residential subdivision development at the site identified as civic addresses 3275 Trafalgar Road in Oakville, Ontario. Reliance on this report is also extended to Municipalities and other such Regulatory Authorities for zoning and permitting purposes, but only to the same extents and purposes of the report. Further dissemination of this report is not permitted without Landtek's prior written approval.

Further details of the limitations of this report are presented in Appendix A.



2.0 SITE SETTING

2.1 Site Location and Description

The site is located in Oakville, Ontario, and is centered at approximate grid reference 602900, 4816725 (UTM 17T coordinates). The Geodetic elevation of the ground surface at the site is approximately 177 m to 183 m.

The site location is shown in Figure 2.1.1 below.



Figure 2.1.1: Site Location and Surrounding Area

The site is approximately 38,600 m² (3.86 hectares) in plan area and is rectangular in shape. The site is situated on Trafalgar Road and is bound to the east by a soon-to-be-developed area, and to the north and west by agricultural land.

The topography of the site is variable, with a number of shallow valleys and plateaus across the site. Shallow ponds and wetland areas are also noted in the central and southern areas of the site area.

2.2 Published Geology

Anecdotal evidence indicates that the area is covered by variable thicknesses of fill materials that are associated with the excavation and construction works for the ETR Highway 407 approximately 2 km northeast of the site.

Based on previous geotechnical experience for the area and a review of the existing geological publications for the site area, Ontario Geological Survey (herein "*OGS*") Map 2605 "*Quaternary Geology of the Hamilton Area*", the site is underlain by clay and silt glacial till deposits of the Halton Till.



The Ontario Department of Mines (herein "*ODM*") Map 2336 "*Paleozoic Geology of the Hamilton Area*" indicates that the superficial geology is underlain by a sequence of interbedded red shales of the Queenston Formation. The OGS Map P0495 "*Hamilton Sheet, Southern Ontario, Drift Thickness Series*" indicates bedrock to subcrop the site at a relatively shallow depth, in the order of approximately 3.0 m to 5.0 m below existing ground level.

Information provided by historical borehole records from within the vicinity of the site, and held by the OGS, generally confirms the anticipated geological conditions beneath the site. Based on the data from a number of records within a 1.5 km radius of the site, the soil profile comprises of a veneer of organic material overlying clay and silt till deposits to depths between approximately 5.5 m and 8.4 m, with red shale bedrock underlying.

2.3 Hydrology and Hydrogeology

The nearest surface water body is a tributary of the Joshua's Creek watershed, as regulated Halton Conservation, that passes north-south through the central area of the site. A regulated wetland is also located in the central and southern area of the site, with area of local ponding also present.

It is anticipated that any shallow groundwater regime beneath the site will be associated with the shallow Queenston Formation shale bedrock, though limited, local groundwater sources may be encountered within the overlying Halton Till.



3.0 FIELDWORK AND INVESTIGATION METHODOLOGY

Fieldwork undertaken at the site by Landtek included clearance of underground services, borehole layout, borehole drilling and soil sampling, and field supervision. A total of fifteen boreholes were drilled as part of an initial phase of investigation between August 9 and 12, 2021, and a second phase of investigation completed between March 20 to 27, 2023. All boreholes were logged using those standard symbols and terms defined in Appendix B. The Borehole Location Plan, Drawing 21261-01, and associated borehole logs are provided in Appendix C.

The boreholes were drilled using a Dietrich D-50 track mounted drill rig equipped with continuous flight, solid and hollow stem augers and were extended to depths between approximately 3.1 m and 18.7 m below existing ground level. Full time supervision of drilling and soil sampling operations was carried out by a representative of Landtek. Standard Penetration Tests (SPT's) and split spoon samples were taken during drilling at selected depths. Boreholes encountering ultimate auger refusal were extended from bedrock refusal using NQ-gauge, rotary coring methodologies.

Boreholes identified as BH1D-23, BH4-23, BH120S/D, BH121S/D and BH122D-23 were completed as monitoring wells and reidentified as monitoring wells BH/MW1D-23, BH/MW4-23, BH/MW120S/D (nested), BH/MW121S/D (nested) and BH/MW122D-23 respectively. The monitoring wells consisted of new 50 mm poly-vinyl chloride (PVC) screen with No.10 slots threaded onto a matching riser. The screens and risers were pre-threaded including o-ring seals such that no glues or solvents were used to connect the pipe sections. The annular space between the PVC well and the borehole was backfilled to approximately 0.3 m above the top of the screen section with sand pack, and then with bentonite to existing ground level. A J-Plug lockable air-tight cap was installed on the riser.

All soil samples were transported to the Landtek's in-house, Canadian Council of Independent Laboratories (CCIL) certified laboratory and visually examined to determine their textural classification. Moisture content testing was carried out on all samples. No chemical testing was completed as part of this investigation.

The borehole locations were established by Landtek relative to site measurements and site features. Borehole Geodetic elevations were established relative to the information provided by the Topographical Survey drawing for the site, "*Lot 12, Concession 1, North of Dundas Street*" as completed by A. T. McLaren Limited, reference no. 36729-T.r1, dated January 6th, 2021.



4.0 SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

4.1 Overview

The borehole information is generally consistent with the geological data identified in Section 2.2, with the predominant soils comprising of clay and silt till underlain by red shale.

The detailed borehole logs are presented in Appendix C, with the ground conditions encountered by the boreholes discussed in the following sections.

4.2 Existing Pavement Structure

Boreholes BH114 and BH115 were located within the existing pavement structure at the site. The pavement structure comprises of an approximately 300 mm asphaltic concrete cover with 300 mm of pavement granular materials. The pavement granular materials generally comprise of a sand and gravel product.

4.3 Organic Soils

Organic soil was encountered all boreholes, except boreholes BH114 and BH115, at the ground surface and comprised of an approximately 150 mm to 600 mm thick layer of topsoil.

It should be noted that topsoil thicknesses may vary across the site and the thicknesses encountered at the borehole locations may not be representative of the site-wide, organic soil cover.

4.4 Fill Material

Fill material was encountered in all boreholes except boreholes BH/MW1D-23, BH2-23 and BH3-23 underlying the surface materials and extends to depths of approximately 0.6 m to 1.8 m below existing ground level. The fill generally comprises of silt, clay, and sand, and includes variable fractions of gravel and cobbles, and is generally brown in colour.

SPT "N" values ranging from 7 to 20 were reported within the fill materials, indicating their compactness condition to be variable from poor to moderate, but generally moderately compacted. This is as expected for historical fill materials placed in an uncontrolled manner.

4.5 Silty Clay and Clayey Silt

Silty clay and clayey silt deposits were encountered in boreholes BH/MW1D-23, BH2-23, BH3-23 and BH119 and BH/MW122D-23 below the fill material and organic materials, ranging in depth between approximately 1.5 m and 2.3 m below existing ground level. The silty clay and clayey silt deposits encountered are primarily brown in colour and include trace fractions of gravel and sand.

SPT "N" values ranging from 5 to 24 were reported, indicating the silty clay and clayey silt deposits to be of a firm to very stiff, but generally stiff consistency.

Moisture contents in the silty clay/clayey silt deposits range between 14 % and 17 %, which are representative of a moist soil with clay and silt as primary constituents. The moisture content testing results are presented on the borehole logs in Appendix C.



4.6 Silt Till

Silt till deposits were encountered in boreholes BH/MW1D-23, BH2-23, BH3-23, BH/MW4-23 and BH122D-23 below the fill material and silty clay/clayey silt deposits. The till deposits range in depth between approximately 0.7 m to 6.0 m below the ground surface. The till deposits encountered are primarily brown in colour and include trace fractions of sand, clay, grey shale fragments and gravel.

SPT "N" values ranging from 22 to 50 blows for 75 mm penetration of the split-spoon sampler were reported, indicating the till to be of a compact to dense, but generally dense consistency. Moisture contents in the silt till deposits range between 8 % and 23 %, which are representative of a moist to very moist soil with silt and clay as primary constituents.

The moisture content testing results are presented on the borehole logs in Appendix C.

4.7 Clayey Silt Till

Clayey silt till deposits were encountered in all boreholes except boreholes BH/MW1D-23, BH2-23, BH3-23, BH/MW4-23, BH/MW121S/D, BH122 and BH123 below the organic material and fill material. The till deposits range in depth between approximately 1.5 m to 3.5 m below the ground surface. The till deposits encountered are primarily brown in colour and include variable fractions of sand and gravel and trace cobbles.

SPT "N" values ranging from 19 to 57 were reported, indicating the till to be of a very stiff to hard, but generally hard consistency. Moisture contents in the clayey silt till deposits range between 8 % and 23 %, which are representative of a moist to very moist soil with silt and clay as primary constituents.

The moisture content testing results are presented on the borehole logs in Appendix C.

4.8 Bedrock

Red shale of the Queenston Formation was encountered in all boreholes at depths of between approximately 1.5 m (borehole BH123) and 6.7 m (boreholes BH103) below existing ground level, and extends to the terminus of the boreholes at a maximum drill depth of approximately 18.7 m.

The Queenston Formation is a layered sedimentary bedrock deposit with widely spaced jointing and sub-horizontal bedding planes, interbedded with slightly weathered to fresh, grey, fine grained strong to extremely strong calcareous siltstone and limestone seams and tend to be much sounder and harder than the shale strata.

Rotary coring was conducted to confirm bedrock quality in boreholes BH1D-'23, BH2-23, BH3-'23, BH4-'23 and BH122D-'23, and along with previous geotechnical investigations conducted in the vicinity of the site, the information indicates that the shale is generally very weak and completely weathered in the upper layers and becomes more competent at depth. The competent shale in the vicinity of the site is typically classified under the Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual classification rating criteria as being a Grade R3 to R4 rock which is medium strong rock. It should be noted that, with the Queenston Formation being a layered sedimentary bedrock deposit, discontinuous layers of siltstone and occasional limestone seams will likely be encountered and tend to be much sounder and harder than the shale strata.



4.9 Groundwater

Groundwater was encountered during drilling **only** in boreholes BH/MW101-'20, BH2-'23, BH/MW103, BH116 and BH/MW121 at depths between approximately 2.7 m and 5.2 m below the ground surface.

Twenty-two return, groundwater monitoring well visits have been completed at the site to date since 2021, the most recent results of which are presented in Table 4.9.1 following.

	Well Details			Groundwater Monitoring Results		
MW ID	Surface		Saraan	Water	June 26, 2023	
	Geodetic	Deptii	Scieen	Strike	Depth	Geodetic
BH/MW101- '20	179.97 m	5.0 m	2.0 m – 5.0 m	5.0 m	-	-
BH/MW1D- '23	179.6 m	18.7 m	15.7 m – 18.7 m	Dry	-	-
BH/MW103	184.19 m	10.0 m	7.0 m – 10.0 m	5.2 m	5.37 m	178.82 m
BH/MW4-'23	178.9 m	18.6 m	15.6 m – 18.6 m	Dry	-	-
BH/MW111- '20	179.25 m	8.3 m	6.8 m – 8.3 m	Dry	-	-
BH/MW117- '20	180.3 m	17.4 m	14.4 m – 17.4 m	Dry	6.90 m	173.36 m
BH/MW118- '20	179.7 m	8.6 m	7.1 m – 8.6 m	Dry	3.52 m	176.15 m
BH/MW119- '20	180.65 m	8.5 m	7.0 m – 8.5 m	Dry	6.99 m	173.66 m
BH/MW120	178.49 m	7.5 m	4.5 m –7.5 m	Dry	1.27 m	177.22 m
BH/MW121D	178.28 m	6.5 m	5.0 m – 6.5 m	5.0 m	3.68 m (Oct. '22)	174.60 m (Oct. '22)
BH/MW122D -'23	178.9 m	18.5 m	15.5 m – 18.5 m	Dry	-	-

Table 4.9.1: Summary of Water Level Measurements

Where bedrock is encountered, the groundwater is considered to be responding to exposure by rising in the monitoring well through pressurization until it reaches a static equilibrium; what is referred to as the "*piezometric level*". Transmission of groundwater through the bedrock is predominantly fracture-controlled.

It should be noted though, that groundwater conditions and surface water flow conditions are expected to vary according to the time of the year and seasonal precipitation levels. Water seepage is also expected from soil fissures above the water table.

Further information pertaining to groundwater conditions is provided by Landtek's Hydrogeological Assessment for the site, as reported under separate cover.



5.0 FOUNDATION DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

5.1 Shallow Foundation Considerations

The fill materials encountered at the site are not considered suitable as bearing strata due to their lateral and vertical variability in strength and the unacceptable level of risk associated with the associated variability in settlements.

Based on the ground conditions observed at the borehole locations, it is considered by Landtek that the anticipated moderate-to high-loading of the proposed mid- and high-rise structures can be supported by the native soils or shallow bedrock underlying the site using conventional, concrete strip or pads foundations. It is understood that all elements of the proposed development will include for a maximum or two to four levels of underground basement parking. On this basis, it is anticipated that foundations for structures with two to four levels of basement will be seated at depths between approximately 7.0 m and 13.0 m below existing ground level.

Table 5.1.1 summarizes the preliminary, recommended geotechnical reactions at the Serviceability Limit State (herein "SLS") and factored geotechnical resistances at the Ultimate Limit State (herein "ULS") for the native soils. It should be noted that the design parameters have been determined by Landtek for the design stage only.

Subsurface conditions can vary over relatively short distances and the subsurface conditions revealed at the test locations may not be representative of subsurface conditions across the site. As such, the preliminary design parameters have been determined by Landtek for preliminary purposes only, and that more specific investigation will be required for the design stage of the development.

Approximated Founding Depth Ranges		Founding Stratum	Foundation Design Value	
Depth	Geodetic Elevation		SLS ¹²	ULS ³⁴
±1.5 m to ±4.4 m	182.7 m – 173.9 m	Silty Clay to Clayey Silt	100 kPa	150 kPa
±1.5 m to ±6.6 m	182.7 m – 171.7 m	Clayey Silt Till	350 kPa	525 kPa
±1.5 m to ±6.6 m	182.7 m – 171.7 m	Weathered Red Shale Bedrock	1 MPa	1.5 MPa

 Table 5.1.1: Preliminary Limit State Foundation Design Values

Notes:

1. The National Building Code general safety criterion for the serviceability limit states is: SLS resistance ≥ effect of service loads.

2. Recommended SLS bearing values conform to Estimated Values based on soil types given in Tables K-8 and K-9 of the National Building Codes User's Guide.

3. The ULS resistance factor for shallow foundations is 0.5, as given in Table K-1 of the National Building Code User's Guide.

4. The National Building Code general safety criterion for the ultimate limit states is: factored ULS resistance ≥ effect of factored loads.

Design factors related to structural loads will determine the most cost-effective foundation system for the proposed development. The impact on foundation size and soil bearing pressure is illustrated in Figure 5.1.1 and emphasizes that foundation design sizes, bearing pressures, and bearing levels must be taken into account to avoid excessive consolidation settlements.

Where the bearing levels of the footings are at different design elevations, the footing base levels should be stepped along a line of 7V:10H, drawn upwards from the lowest footing, to avoid overlapping stresses.

Subsurface conditions can vary over relatively short distances and the subsurface conditions revealed at the test locations may not be representative of subsurface conditions across the site. Therefore, a Geotechnical Engineer should be engaged during construction to examine the exposed sub-soil quality and condition, and confirm the subsurface conditions are consistent with



design assumptions. This is in compliance with field review requirements in the National Building Code, Volume 1, Clause 4.2.2.3.



Figure 5.1.1: Illustration of Load Distribution below Variable Size Foundations with the Same Applied Loading

Footing foundations may be considered an appropriate option, though the acceptability of footings will depend upon design issues such as the elevation of the lowest floor level and the structural loading. If the footing design criteria provided in this report cannot be satisfied then an alternative solution may be considered, such as a piled solution, particularly if the proposed structures are of a generally high loading than anticipated.

5.2 Frost Susceptibility

The shallow soils encountered across the site are considered sensitive to water and frost, and their physical and mechanical properties are dependent on in-situ moisture content. As such, the founding soils at the site are considered to have a moderate to high frost susceptibility, being classified as Frost Group "*F4*" (Table 13.1 of the "*Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual*", 4th Edition). However, the identified depths for foundations, as given in Section 5.1 are considered to be below the maximum extents of influence from frost penetration in the Oakville area.

Should any re-grading be required as part of the proposed development and adjacent to the new structures, it will be important to ensure that the associated exterior footings will have a minimum of 1.2 m of soil cover, or equivalent suitable insulation, for frost protection.



5.3 Deep Foundation Considerations

5.3.1 Piled Foundations

If higher bearing capacities are required to support the building loads, then an alternative, deeper founding solution may be required, such as the following:

- "Cast in Place" concrete caissons, which could be constructed without any unexpected difficulties, but, based on the conditions of deeper groundwaters, should incorporate the use of liners. It is anticipated that a dewatering system will not be required provided that liners are used appropriately to control the piezometric water level conditions encountered at depth; or,
- Continuous Flight Auger (CFA) piles.

For piles seated within the native till soils, the point resistance at the bottom is 150 kPa at the SLS. The frictional resistance (skin friction) developed in the drilled shaft may be calculated as follows:

$$Q_s = 0.42 D_s [100 L_1]$$

 $\begin{array}{rcl} Where: & & \\ D_s &= & Diameter \mbox{ of drilled shaft} \\ L_1 &= & Length \mbox{ of pile within the silty clay to silt till} \\ Q_s &= & value \mbox{ in } kN \end{array}$

Alternatively, the piles may be extended to bedrock, and Table 5.1.1.1 provides the factored geotechnical resistances at the ULS for piled foundation solutions seated within the shale. Any piled foundation should be seated at a depth to provide a minimum 1.5 m rock socket (i.e., founded at a minimum of 1.5 m penetration depth into the weathered shale).

The following parameters should be applied for the bedrock when considering lateral pressures on loaded piles:

 K_p = Rankine passive pressure coefficient = tan²(45 + $\phi/2$)

For the completely and highly weathered shale (residual soil):
φ = Internal angle of friction should be taken as 26°; and, Y = Bulk unit weight should be taken as 22 kN/m³.
For the weathered shale:
φ = Internal angle of friction should be taken as 26°; and, Y = Bulk unit weight should be taken as 25.5 kN/m³.

It should be noted however, that the final design and seating depths for any piled foundation solution is to be based on the findings of the additional investigation required, specific pile-driving and pile load tests undertaken at the site prior to construction.

5.3.2 Settlement Considerations for Piled Foundations

Settlement considerations for piles seated in the clayey silt till or silt till deposits are as per those defined in Section 5.1.3 of this report.

For piles seated in weathered shale bedrock, the SLS condition will not govern the foundation design as the stresses required to induce 25 mm of movement (typical settlement criteria for SLS) is anticipated to exceed ULS. Therefore, any anticipated settlements for foundations seated within shale bedrock underlying the site should be considered negligible (i.e., less than 15 mm).



5.4 Settlement Considerations

Based on the outline information provided for the nature of the proposed development of the site, it is anticipated that the loads to be applied to the ground by any such structure will be generally moderate to high intensity. As such, associated settlements are not expected to be large. Therefore, the general limiting of the total settlement to 25 mm and the differential settlement to 19 mm by the recommended geotechnical reaction at the SLS is considered appropriate.

Ordinarily, the SLS condition would not govern foundation design in bedrock as the stress required to induce the typical 25 mm settlement criteria at the SLS is anticipated to exceed the ULS. However, the completely weathered nature of the shale is such that it behaves more as a soil and, as such, the associated settlements should be taken as those for soils.

5.5 Seismic Design Considerations

Based on the soil conditions encountered, and in accordance with Table 4.1.8.4.A. of the current Ontario Building Code (herein "*OBC*"), the site is considered to be a 'C' Site Class. The acceleration and velocity-based site coefficients, F_a and F_v , should be determined from Tables 4.1.8.4.B. and 4.1.8.4.C. respectively of the OBC for the above recommended Site Class.

An improved seismic site classification (i.e., Class 'B' or 'A') may be achieved through the completion of a shear wave velocity test at the site using Multi-channel Analysis of Surface Waves (herein "*MASW*") methodologies, particularly as the foundations are likely to be seated within the bedrock strata.

The seismic design data given in Table 1.2 of Supplementary Standard SB-1 in Volume 2 of the OBC, for selected Municipal locations, should be used to complete the seismic analysis.

5.6 Damp Proofing and Waterproofing Considerations

The subsurface areas should be damp proofed and comply with the OBC requirements. As a minimum it is recommended that the damp proofing system include a Delta Drainage Board or MiraDrain 2000 series product, or an approved alternative, along with an asphalt-based spray-on wall coating.

It is recommended that all subsurface structures and areas (i.e., basement walls and floor slabs etc.) are appropriately waterproofed below groundwater, where encountered, plus the required buffer zone (nominally 1.0 m to 1.5 m) above the stabilized or "*seasonally highest groundwater level*" defined by the Hydrogeological Assessment, as provided under separate cover.



6.0 FLOOR SLAB AND PERIMETER DRAINAGE CONSIDERATIONS

Based on the borehole soil conditions and development information provided to Landtek, it should be possible to construct the lowest (i.e., basement) floor slab level using slab-on-grade methods. The subgrade support conditions are anticipated to be clayey silt till, silt till or weathered red shale, which should provide competent conditions for placing the vapour barrier material. However, after the subgrade has been prepared to the underfloor design elevation it is recommended that the area be assessed by Landtek to determine if there is a need for any remedial work.

It is recommended that a minimum 200 mm layer of clear, 19 mm crushed quarried stone be used as the vapour barrier under the floor slab. The vapour barrier stone should meet the requirements of Ontario Provincial Standard Specifications (herein "*OPSS*") 1004 for 19 mm Type II clear stone. If a graded crushed stone is substituted for clear stone, the material should be limited to a maximum of 5 % fines (passing the 0.075 mm sieve). The floor slab thickness should meet the specifications of the project based on anticipated floor loadings.

The finished exterior ground surface should be sloped away from the buildings at a grade in the order of 2 %.

The concrete properties should meet the requirements of OPSS 1350. Contraction and isolation jointing practices should be in accordance with current Portland Cement Association recommendations, as given in the engineering bulletin "*Concrete Floors on Ground*", second edition, by R. E. Spears, and W. C. Panarese.

The design of concrete slabs on native soils may be made on the basis of a value of modulus of subgrade reaction of 40 MPa/m for native till soils and 120 MPa/m for weathered shale.

Unless the proposed structures are to be waterproofed as prescribed in Section 5.6, perimeter drainage should be provided around all subsurface floor areas where only storm water may accumulate. Municipal approval is required for allowing for the discharge of groundwater into the Municipal storm system where the perimeter drainage is going to be installed at a depth below the established groundwater level.

Underfloor drains may be also required depending on the provision of waterproofing, or excavation and groundwater seepage conditions, particularly if below the groundwater level. Based on the anticipated foundation elevations for the one basement level, and when considering the groundwater monitoring data, groundwater is to be expected within the excavation profile for proposed structures, specifically where foundations are seated within weathered bedrock.

The drainage system should comply with the OBC and associated amendments. Further details pertaining to perimeter and underfloor drainage systems are provided in Drawings 21261-02 and 21261-03 respectively, in Appendix D.

After the subgrade has been prepared to the underfloor design elevation it is recommended that the area be proof-rolled with a loaded tandem axle dump truck to delineate if there are soft or unstable ground conditions that require repair. This operation should be completed before the underfloor vapour barrier granular material is placed.



7.0 EARTH PRESSURE CONSIDERATIONS FOR SUBSURFACE WALLS

The earth pressure, p, acting on subsurface walls at any depth, h, in metres below the ground surface assumes an equivalent triangular fluid pressure distribution and may be calculated using the expression below. It is assumed that granular material is used as backfill. Allowances for pressure due to compaction operations should be included in the earth pressure determinations and a value of 12 kPa is applicable for a vibratory compactor and granular material.

If the structure retaining soil can move slightly, the active earth pressure case can be used in determining the lateral earth pressure. For restrained structures and no yielding an "at rest" earth pressure condition should be used. The determination of the earth pressures should be based on the following expression:

$$P_1 = K (\delta h + q)$$

where:

- P₁ = the pressure in kPa acting against any subsurface wall at depth, h, in metres (feet) below the ground surface;
- K = the at rest earth pressure coefficient considered appropriate for subsurface walls; OPSS 1010 Granular B Type 1 (pit-run sand and gravel) material has an effective angle of friction estimated to be 32° with a corresponding at rest earth pressure coefficient, K_o, of 0.45; and,
- $\delta~$ = the moist bulk unit weight of the retained backfill; 21.5 kN/m³.

and,

- q = the value for any adjacent surcharge in kPa, which may be acting close to the wall; and,
- h = the depth, in m, at which the pressure is calculated

For any subsurface walls below groundwater, the pressure distribution on the wall should include the hydrostatic pressure. The determination of hydrostatic pressure should be based on the following expression:

where:

$$P_2 = \delta_w h_w$$

P₂ = hydrostatic pressure;

- δ_w = unit weight of water; 9.8 kN/m³; and,
- $h_w =$ depth of wall, below reported water level.

Backfill materials required for behind the retaining structure is assumed to meet an OPSS 1010 Granular B Type 1 pit-run sand and gravel material or OPSS 1010 Granular A. The granular fill should be compacted to a minimum of 98 % of the material's Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (herein "*SPMDD*"), or to the levels and backfilling procedures specified. Table 7.1 below provides those lateral earth pressure parameters for the predominant soils anticipated at the site.

Parameter	Site Soils (Generalized)	OPSS 1010 Granular A	OPSS 1010 Granular B Type I
Angle of Internal Friction, φ	32°	35°	32°
Unit Weight (KN/m ³)	20	23	22
Passive Earth Pressure Coefficient, Kp	4.20	3.70	3.25
At-Rest Earth Pressure Coefficient, Ko	0.38	0.43	0.47
Active Earth Pressure Coefficient, Ka	0.24	0.27	0.31

Given the presence of shale bedrock beneath the site, the following parameters should be applied for the bedrock when considering lateral pressures on subsurface walls:

- Internal angle of friction (φ) should be taken as 28°; and,
- Bulk unit weight (Y) should be taken as 25.5 kN/m³.



In designing a temporary or permanent subsurface wall within bedrock, a uniform pressure distribution is assumed and is consistent with the maximum earth pressure calculated for the wall where in soil. However, below the weathered bedrock zone, the design does not accommodate for lateral rock swell.

Lateral rock swell will be of concern as fresh bedrock will be exposed in the excavation faces and will be more prone to swell than the shallower, more weathered bedrock zone. No real rate of swell can be put on the bedrock as it is very variable.

There are various approaches to overcome rock swell in design and excavation. There is a generally accepted industry practice which assumes that bedrock exposed for a period of more than 120 days will have swelled such that no significant stresses will be exerted upon foundation walls. Alternatively, a number of methods of mitigation are generally accepted to include:

- The limiting of bedrock exposure to no more than 7 days;
- The application of a mud-mat/shotcrete onto the exposed rock face once excavated;
- The installation of a layer of compressible fill material (e.g. Ethafoam Plank products) between the rock face and the back of the structural wall; and/or,
- The over-excavation of the bedrock ±0.6 m and backfilling the void space with 19 mm Clear Stone.

It should be noted that the variability of the Queenston Formation shale means that, without sitespecific testing, no definitive time at which point the swell becomes negligible can be assigned.



8.0 SUBSURFACE CONCRETE

8.1 Concrete Class Considerations

The requirements for subsurface concrete subject to a sulphate and chloride environment are presented in Canadian Standards Association specification, CSA A23.1-14 *"Concrete Materials and Methods of Concrete Construction, Tables 1-4"*. Experience in the area indicates that the native soils generally have a mild sulphate environment and a low chloride concentration. It is recommended that subsurface concrete at the site have general use (GU) characteristics for normal Portland cement mixes.

For the parking garage decks and ramps it is recommended that the concrete exposure class be C-1 and the concrete have the following minimum properties:

- minimum 56-day compressive strength: 35 MPa;
- maximum water to cement ratio:
- chloride ion penetrability requirement: < 1500 coulombs (within 91 days)
- cementing materials: GU (general use hydraulic cement) or GUb (blended general use)
- air content: as per CSA A23.1-14 Table 4, air content category 1 (freeze-thaw environment)

0.40:

The concrete should be placed without segregation and should be consolidated to achieve a uniform dense mass.

8.2 Methods for Specifying Concrete

Alternative methods of specifying concrete for a project are outlined in CSA A23.1-14 and allow for "*Performance*" or "*Prescription*" based methods. Each method attaches different levels of responsibility to the owner, the contractor, and the concrete supplier. The pros and cons of each method should be examined prior to completion of the specifications for the project.



9.0 EXCAVATION AND BACKFILL CONSIDERATIONS

9.1 Excavation Considerations for Soils

All temporary excavations and unbraced side slopes in the soils should conform to standards set out in the Occupational Health and Safety Act, Ontario Regulation 213/91 "*Construction Projects*" (herein "*OHSA*"). The subsurface soils to be encountered during excavation at the site are expected to behave as "*Type 2*" and "*Type 3*" materials according to the OHSA classification in Part III. Type 2 soils are characteristic of the generally very stiff "*clayey silt till*" and the generally dense "*silt till*", with the previously excavated "*fill materials*" behaving as Type 3 soils.

It should be possible to excavate the overburden soils with a hydraulic backhoe. Moist Type 2 and Type 3 soils are expected to be stable for short construction periods at slopes of approximately 45° to the horizontal (i.e., 1V:1H).

Excavations for new foundations should satisfy the criteria given in the example shown in Figure 9.1.1 to avoid overlapping stresses and minimize the risk of undermining existing adjacent structures, including utilities, and/or triggering additional settlements of the existing structures due to soil disturbance.



Example: If the separation between existing and new proposed footings is 2 m the difference in bearing elevation should not exceed 0.67 m.

Figure 9.1.1: Criteria for Assessing Excavation Shoring Requirements (Not to Scale)

Consideration should be given to any existing trench excavations and associated backfill that may be present directly behind cut slopes within the native soils that may appear to be stable on first



excavation. In these circumstances, slopes can suddenly slough or collapse due to the effects of the adjacent backfill.

Consequently, for excavation conditions that cannot satisfy the OHSA requirements for unbraced 1H:1V side slopes, a trench box system should be used, or temporary shoring should be installed to maintain safe working conditions. This may be more applicable to basement excavations, though may also apply to service trench excavations etc., particularly when in close proximity to new road pavements or associated infrastructure.

It should be noted that the design of any temporary shoring system is the responsibility of the Contractor. Therefore, a specialist shoring contractor should be consulted to provide the most appropriate shoring type method and associated installation procedures. In any event, the shoring design should be based on the procedures outlined in the latest edition of the Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual.

9.2 Excavation Considerations for Bedrock

In accordance with the standards set out in the OHSA, the more competent "*shale bedrock*" encountered underlying the site has strength properties that exceed a Type 1 soil, though may be encountered on site at relatively shallow depths as a "*residual soil*".

For any required bedrock excavation, a backhoe equipped with a hydraulic breaker and/or a bucket with rock-ripping 'tiger teeth' may be required in the shale bedrock, particularly when encountering harder siltstone or limestone bands. The blasting of bedrock will not be permitted by the Corporation of the Town of Oakville (herein *"Town of Oakville"*). Significant ground vibrations resulting from excavation works are not anticipated, though may be elevated above those associated with normal construction activities. As such, a period of ground vibration monitoring may be required to determine the peak vibration levels and any remedial measures or limitations required.

A backhoe equipped with a hydraulic breaker and/or a bucket with rock-ripping 'tiger teeth' may be required in the shale strata. Significant ground vibrations resulting from excavation works are not anticipated other than those associated with normal construction activities.

The shale is expected to remain relatively stable at near vertical slopes for short periods of time. It is recommended that any excavation slopes be scaled of loose rock pieces and overhang and cut back to about 10V:1H.

Slightly weathered and competent shale of the Queenston Formation has the characteristics of becoming soft or degraded after excavation and subsequent exposure to the elements, the results of which would be basal heaving and compression from rock squeezing along excavation side walls. As such, these effects should be minimized during construction, and requires a well-planned construction program to ensure that the exposure of the shale bedrock is kept to a minimum, as identified in Section 7.0.

Methane gas is known to be present within the fracture networks of the Queenston Formation shale, normally below the top 1 m and becoming more concentrated with depth. As such, the potential could exist for the development of an explosive or oxygen-depleted air environment. Therefore, Landtek recommends that the appropriate air space monitoring is undertaken within all confined excavations, particularly those located close to or within bedrock, as defined by the OHSA.



9.3 Short-Term (Construction) Dewatering Considerations

Considerations regarding groundwater levels, construction dewatering, dewatering rates and requirements towards project registration with the Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (*ESAR*) or a Permit To Take Water (*PTTW*) are provided by the Hydrogeological Assessment for the site, as reported under separate cover.

9.4 General Backfill Considerations

Backfill next to foundation walls and in service trenches should be selected to be compactable in narrow trench conditions. The on-site clayey silt till and silt till are expected to be reusable as trench backfill and backfill around the proposed structures on the site. Any variation in the moisture contents of the soils encountered may require selective separation of material to avoid the use of wet soil.

Site servicing trench backfill should be uniformly compacted to a density that minimizes the risk of long-term settlements. It is recommended that the target compaction specification for trench backfill be 97 % SPMDD with no individual test below 95 % SPMDD.

During inclement weather the native soils may become too wet to achieve satisfactory compaction. If construction is proposed for late in the year, a reduced level of trench compaction with a higher risk of future settlements is to be anticipated, and it is recommended that provisional contract quantities be established for the supply and placement of imported granular fill under such circumstances. The imported granular should meet the requirements of OPSS 1010 for Granular B Type I material as a minimum requirement.



10.0 TEMPORARY SHORING CONSIDERATIONS

The installation of temporary shoring is also recommended to maintain safe working conditions and eliminate the possibility of loss of ground and damage to nearby structures and buried utilities on the adjacent road allowances during excavation for the basement construction.

The requirement and application of shoring to support excavation side slopes will be dependent on the required excavation depth and the proximity of existing or newly constructed infrastructure adjacent to the excavation.

The preferred method of shoring will consist of a concrete caisson wall. This type of system is expected to provide the additional benefit of sealing the excavation from water penetration and loss of soil fines into the open excavation. Soldier piles and timber lagging may be considered as an option for a shoring system, though this type of system may require measures to prevent the loss of soil between the spaces of lagging boards where a wet or flowing soil layer may be present.

The shoring methods may provide lateral restraining force through the use of rakers or tieback anchors. Tieback anchors provide additional advantage since they do not protrude into the excavations as rakers would. However, the use of tieback anchors is also dependent upon whether permission is needed or whether it is physically possible to extend the anchors to the required distance into neighbouring properties.

It should be noted that the design of any temporary shoring system is the responsibility of the Contractor. Therefore, a specialist shoring contractor should be consulted to provide the most appropriate shoring type method and associated installation procedures. In any event, the shoring design should be based on the procedures outlined in the latest edition of the Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual. It is also recommended that lateral and vertical movement of the shoring system be monitored during construction to ensure that movements are within the acceptable range.



11.0 SITE SERVICING CONSIDERATIONS

There is no indication that special pipe bedding materials or procedures are required for the installation of services. All bedding cover and backfill materials should be selected in accordance with OPSS 1010 Aggregates – Base, Subbase, Select Subgrade, and Backfill Material.

The pipes should be placed with a minimum bedding thickness in conformance of Ontario Provincial Standard Drawing (herein "*OPSD*") 802.010, 802.013 and 802.014 for flexible pipe and OPSD 802.030, 031, 032, 033 and 034 for rigid pipes. The type of bedding shall be selected to suit the applicable pipe strength and site conditions.

Bedding material shall be placed in layers not exceeding 300 mm in thickness, loose measurement, and compacted to 95 % of the SPMDD before a subsequent layer is placed. Site servicing trench backfill should be uniformly compacted to a density that minimizes the risk of long-term settlements. Bedding on each side of the pipe shall be completed simultaneously. At no time shall the levels on each side differ by more than the 300 mm uncompacted layer. The remainder of the trench should be backfilled as per the requirements defined in Sections 9.0 of this report.

It is assumed all services will have a minimum of 1.2 m of soil cover for frost protection. For services installed at shallower depths, suitable insulation for frost protection is recommended.



12.0 SOIL MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

From a geotechnical perspective, and in order to optimize the use of the on-site soils, a Soil Management Plan should be established in accordance with the requirements of Ontario Regulation (herein "*O. Reg.*") 406/19 for excess soils and O. Reg. 153/04 for soil stockpiles.

The plan objective should be to achieve a self-sustainable development with respect to excavated materials and control the placement of organic soils so that there is negligible impact on the settlement performance of the compacted fill material. The soil management criteria should be per the following sections, as a minimum:

12.1 Organic and Deleterious Materials

Surface vegetation, topsoil and organic soils should not be placed within the proposed roadways, below finished subgrade level for pavement construction or building limits. These materials should be placed in landscaped areas where settlements are not critical.

12.2 Materials Reuse Management

12.2.1 Fill Compaction Requirements

Excavated soils for structural fill in pavement areas and building floor slab areas, which do not have topsoil or organic matter and are compactable with moisture contents within 2 % to 3 % of the optimum value, should be placed and compacted to a target density of 97 % of the SPMDD with no individual test result below 95 % SPMDD.

If engineered fill is required to support building foundations:

- the engineered fill should be placed and compacted in lifts to a target density of 100 % SPMDD with no individual tests below 98 % SPMDD; and,
- the soil should be placed in a loose lift thickness not exceeding 250 mm and should be compacted using a large (10 ton or larger) pad-foot type roller with vibratory capability.

If engineered fill to support building foundations is being considered it is recommended that a pre-construction meeting be scheduled to review the proposed fill materials, fill placement and compaction procedures, and the testing and inspection requirements.

Soils to be placed in landscaped areas where settlements are not critical should receive nominal compaction effort in order to achieve at least 90 % of the SPMDD.

12.2.2 Structural Fill Subgrades

Prior to the placement of any structural fill materials, the exposed subgrade soil should be inspected and proof-rolled using a loaded tandem axle truck and traversing the exposed subgrade for full coverage. The proof-rolling should be monitored by a geotechnical representative of this office to delineate any soft areas which may require repair.



13.0 PAVEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

13.1 At-Grade Pavement Design Considerations

The proposed development is anticipated to include new access road pavements and parking areas. Recommended pavement structure layer thicknesses are provided in Table 13.1.1. Site specific development requirements set out by the Town of Oakville may override the recommendations of this report, particularly for the sections of pavement that are to be adopted by the Town of Oakville.

The recommended pavement design section takes into account the accepted design practice that the total pavement structure thickness should meet or exceed one-half the anticipated depth of frost penetration for the geographical area (i.e., 1.2 m) or as close as practicable.

Pavement Layer	Access and Fire Routes	Light Duty Pavement Areas
Surface Course Asphalt OPSS HL 3	40 mm	40 mm
Binder Course Asphalt OPSS HL 8	60 mm	50 mm
Granular Base OPSS Granular A	150 mm	150 mm
Granular Subbase OPSS Granular B, Type II	350 mm ¹	300 mm ¹
Total Thickness	600 mm	540 mm

Table 13.1.1: Recommended Pavement Structure Layer Thicknesses

Notes:

1. If construction proceeds late in the year (i.e., November and December), the design thickness of pavement granular materials may have to be increased to address potential problems with subgrade instability and facilitate construction vehicle and truck access.

13.2 Sub-grade Preparation and Drainage

The overall performance of the pavement structure will greatly depend upon the support provided by the developed subgrade. A number of factors should be considered at the construction stages to ensure that an acceptable subgrade condition is developed and maintained:

- Sub-drains should be installed and should be 100 mm diameter perforated plastic pipe, with outfalls to catch basins at a continuous and uniform grade. The sub-drains should conform to OPSD 216.01;
- Any soft areas of notable deflection to the subgrade should be sub-excavated and replaced with a suitable backfill material approved by a qualified geotechnical engineer and compacted to 98 % of its SPMDD;
- The subgrade should be properly shaped, crowned and then proof-rolled under the full-time observation of a geotechnical representative of this office to delineate any soft areas which may require repair before placing the granular materials; and,
- Surface water should not be allowed to pond on the surface of or adjacent to the outside edges of any developed subgrade.

Should the pavements proposed for the development be constructed as a two-stage paving operation it will important to ensure that the following is undertaken to develop the surface of the binder course being used as a "*temporary*" surface during the construction phase:

• The surface is thoroughly cleaned and power washed to remove all residual contaminants;



- All deficiencies are corrected to meet the required design specifications; and,
- A suitable tack coat is appropriately applied immediately prior to the placement of the upper asphaltic concrete course(s).

Such preparatory works are to be completed in accordance with the appropriate OPSS, as required.

13.3 Pavement Materials

13.3.1 Granular Base Course and Subbase

The granular base course materials should meet OPSS Granular "A" specifications. Quarried 20 mm limestone crushed to Granular "A" gradation specifications is recommended. Granular subbase material should meet OPSS Granular B Type II requirements for 100 % crushed quarried bedrock (50 mm crusher-run limestone).

13.3.2 Hot Mix Asphalt

The surface course asphalt should meet current specifications for HL 3, as prescribed by the Town of Oakville or, alternatively, OPSS 1150.

13.3.3 Compaction

Granular base course and subbase course fill material should be compacted to 100 % SPMDD. Hot mix asphalt should be compacted to the criteria set out by the Town of Oakville.

13.4 Sidewalk Considerations

The construction of the concrete sidewalks at the site should be completed to the satisfaction of the Town of Oakville's Engineering Standards, and as detailed in Table 13.4.1. The concrete and aggregates should be produced and placed to meet those standards also stipulated by the Town of Oakville's Engineering Standards.

Materials	Compaction Requirements	Layer Thickness
Normal Portland GU (32 MPa) (CAN3-CSA A23.1) - Class C-2	N/A	125 mm
Granular "A" Base	95 % SPMDD*	150 mm

Table 13.4.1: Recommended Minimum Concrete Sidewalk Specifications

* Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density

Where finished sidewalks are on level ground, and to ensure that they remain free of ponding water, a final slope/gradient of the concrete sidewalk surface of at least 2 % should be maintained. In addition, construction joints in the sidewalk concrete should be properly sealed (e.g., bitumen filler) to minimize the water migration.



14.0 CLOSURE

The Limitations of Report, as stated in Appendix A, are an integral part of this report.

Soil samples will be retained and stored by Landtek for a period of three months after the report is issued. The samples will be disposed of at the end of the three-month period unless a written request from the client to extend the storage period is received.

We trust this report will be of assistance with the design and construction of the proposed development. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Yours sincerely,

LANDTEK LIMITED

James Dann, B.Eng. (Hons.), ACSM Manager, Geotechnical Projects



Ralph Di Cienzo, P. Consulting Engineer



APPENDIX A LIMITATIONS OF REPORT

The conclusions and recommendations given in this report are based on information determined at the borehole locations. Subsurface and ground water conditions between and beyond the Boreholes may be different from those encountered at the borehole locations, and conditions may become apparent during construction that could not be detected or anticipated at the time of the geotechnical investigation. It is recommended practice that Landtek be retained during construction to confirm that the subsurface conditions throughout the site are consistent with the conditions encountered in the Boreholes.

The comments made in this report on potential construction problems and possible remedial methods are intended only for the guidance of the designer. The number of Boreholes may not be sufficient to determine all the factors that may influence construction methods and costs. For example, the thickness and quality of surficial topsoil or fill layers may vary markedly and unpredictably. Additionally, bedrock contact depths throughout the site may vary significantly from what was encountered at the exact borehole locations. Contractors bidding on the project, or undertaking construction on the site should make their own interpretation of the factual borehole information, and establish their own conclusions as to how the subsurface conditions may affect their work.

The survey elevations in the report were obtained by Landtek Limited or others, and are strictly for use by Landtek in the preparation of the geotechnical report. The elevations should not be used by any other parties for any other purpose.

Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. Landtek Limited accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken based on this report.

This report does not reflect environmental issues or concerns related to the property unless otherwise stated in the report. The design recommendations given in the report are applicable only to the project described in the text and then only if constructed substantially in accordance with the details stated in this report. Since all details of the design may not be known, it is recommended that Landtek Limited be retained during the final design stage to verify that the design is consistent with the report recommendations, and that the assumptions made in the report are still valid.



15 - 30%

30 - 50%



Sand -

Coarse ------ 4.75 mm – 2 mm Medium ------ 2 mm – 0.425 mm

Clay ----- < 0.002 mm

Fine ----- 0.425 mm - 0.75 mm Silt ----- 0.075 mm - 0.002 mm

DENSITY OF NON-COHESIVE SOILS

Some

With

Descriptive Term	Relative Density	<u>Sta</u>	Standard Penetration Test		
Very Loose	0 – 15%	0 – 4	Blows Per 300 mm Penetration		
Loose	15 – 35%	4 – 10	Blows Per 300 mm Penetration		
Compact	35 – 65%	10 – 30	Blows Per 300 mm Penetration		
Dense	65 – 85%	30 – 50	Blows Per 300 mm Penetration		
Very Dense	85 – 100%	Over 50	Blows Per 300 mm Penetration		

CONSISTENCY OF COHESIVE SOILS

Descriptive Term	<u>Undrained Shear Strength</u> <u>kPa (psf)</u>	N Value Standard Penetration Test	<u>Remarks</u>
Very Soft	< 12 (< 250)	< 2	Can penetrate with fist
Soft	12 – 25 (250 – 500)	2 – 4	Can indent with fist
Firm	25 – 50 (500 –1000)	4 – 8	Can penetrate with thumb
Stiff	50 – 100 (1000 – 2000)	8 – 15	Can indent with thumb
Very Stiff	100 – 200 (2000 – 4000)	15 – 30	Can indent with thumb-nail
Hard	> 200 (> 4000)	> 30	Can indent with thumb-nail

Notes: 1. Relative density determined by standard laboratory tests.

2. N value – blows/300 mm penetration of a 623 N (140 Lb.) hammer falling 760 mm (30 in.) on a 50 mm O.D. split spoon soil sampler. The split spoon sampler is driven 450 mm (18 in.) or 610 mm (24 in.). The "N" value is the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) value and is normally taken as the number of blows to advance the sampler the last 300 mm.



APPENDIX B CONTINUED CLASSIFICATION OF SOILS FOR ENGINEERING PURPOSES ASTM Designation: D 2487 - 69 AND D 2488 – 69 (Unified Soil Classification System)												
N	lajor Divisio	ns	Group Symbols	Typical Names	Classification Criteria							
			GW	Well-graded gravels and gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines		C_u =D60/D10 greater than 4; $C_z = (D30)^2/(D10xD60)$ between 1 and 3						
	Gravels 50% or more of coarse	Clean gravels	GP	Poorly graded gravels and gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines		Not meeting both criteria for GW						
			GM	Silty gravels, gravel- sand-silt mixtures		Atterberg limits below "A" line or P.I. less than 4	Atterberg limits plotting in hatched area are borderline classifications requiring use of dual symbols					
	fraction retained on No. 4 sieve	Gravels with fines	GC	Clayey gravels, gravel- sand-clay mixtures	Classification on basis of percentage of fines Less than 5% pass No. 200	Atterberg limits above "A" line with P.I. greater than 7						
			SW	Well-graded sands and gravelly sands, little or no fines	sieve GW, GP, SW, SP	C_u =D60/D10 greater than 6; $C_z = (D30)^{2/}$ (D10xD60) between 1 and 3						
Coarse- grained	Sands More than 50% of coarse	Clean Sands	SP	Poorly graded sands and gravelly sands, little or no fines	More than 12% pass No. 200 sieve GM, GC, SM, SC	Not meeting both criteria for SW						
soils More than 50%			SM	Silty sands, sand-silt mixtures	5 to 12% pass No.200 sieve	Atterberg limits below "A" line or P.I. less than 4	Atterberg limits plotting in hatched area are borderline classifications requiring use of dual symbols					
retained on No. 200 sieve *	fraction passes No. 4 sieve	Sands with fines	SC	Clayey sands, sand-clay mixtures	Borderline classifications requiring use of dual symbols	Atterberg limits above "A" line with P.I. greater than 7						
			ML	Inorganic silts, very fine sands, rock flour, silty or clayey fine sands	Plasticity Chart For classification of fine-grained soils and fine fraction of coarse-							
			CL	Inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity, gravelly clays, sandy clays, silts	grained soils. Atte borderline classific Equation of A-line: 60	ations requiring use	of dual symbols.					
Fine- grained soils 50% or more passes No. 200 sieve *	Liquid limi less	clays it 50% or	OL	Organic silts and organic silts of low plasticity	50		СН					
			МН	Inorganic silts, micaceous or diatomaceous fine sands or silts, elastic silts	Plasticity 40 Index 30		OH and MH					
			СН	Inorganic clays of high plasticity, fat clays	10	CL						
	Silts and o Liquid limi than 50%	clays it greater	ОН	Organic clays of medium to high plasticity	0 CL - I 10	ML ar 20 30 4	d OL 0 50 60 70 80 90 100 Liquid Limit					
	Highly organic soils		Pt	Peat, much and other highly organic soils	* Based on the material passing the 3 in. (76mm) sieve.							



APPENDIX C

DRAWING 21261-01 – BOREHOLE LOCATION PLAN BOREHOLE LOGS







205 Nebo Road, Unit 48 Hamilton, Ontario L8W 2E1 p: +1 (905) 383-3733 engineering@landtek.ca www.landtek.ca

project location



Location plan an extract from Google Earth

Legend:

- Approximate location of boreholes drilled by Landtek Limited on August 10th to 12th, 2021.
- Approximate location of boreholes drilled by Landtek Limited on August 10th to 12th, 2021.
- Approximate location of boreholes drilled by Landtek Limited on March 20th to 27th, 2023.
- Approximate location of boreholes drilled by MTE in 2020.

Notes:

Base plan an extract of the Topographical Survey "Lot 12, Concession 1, North of Dundas Street" completed by A. T. McLaren Limited, reference no. 36729-T.r1, dated January 6th, 2021.

revisions

date	revision/comment
2022-02-25	issued for report

client

New Horizon Development Group

municipality

The Corporation of the Town of Oakville

project

Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation 3275 and 3301 Trafalgar Road

sheet

Borehole Location Plan

date: may, 2024 drawn: MD checked: JDC project #: 21260 scale: NTS

21263-01

LOG OF BOREHOLE MW101-20

SHEET 1 of 1

Project No.: 21261 Drill Date: 2020-09-23 Northing: 43.497419 Project Name: Proposed Residential Development Drilling Method: Hollow Stem - Tri-Phase Group Easting: -79.726269 Location: 3275 Trafalgar Road, Oakville Ground Surface Elevation: 179.97 Datum: Geodetic Elevation Subsurface Conditions Samples Penetration / Strength Results Moisture / Plasticity Groundwater Conditions **Undrained Shear Strength Values** Stratigraphic Symbol Blow Counts/150 mm Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm Depth/Elevation (m) (kPa) 80 120 40 160 Comments MC LL PI Depth Scale (m) Description Ó ł ÷ Well Details N Value Penetration Test Values Moisture / Plasticity Number × (Blows / 0.3m) × Type 10 20 30 40 20 40 60 80 36" Locking Vault 80.0 -0 Organic Material Fill Silty Sand. 3/8" Bentonite Pellets -79.0 Clayey Silt Shale Highly weathered, very weak, red, dry. 78.0 Monitoring Well Dry August 2021 -2 10:01 GW Monitoring Level 77.0 3 40 Well Slot Sand Sept 2021 Screen 76.0 •4 75.0 5 End of Log 174.0 -6 173.0 -7 172.0 8 171.0 · 9 70.0 10 LANDTEK LIMITED Additional Notes: Borehole open to approximately 5.1 m depth upon completion.
 Monitoring well installed at 5.0 m depth below ground surface.
 3. 205 Nebo Road, Unit 4B Hamilton, Ontario, L8W 2E1 Ph: (905) 383-3733 4.

LOG OF BOREHOLE BHMW1D-23 SHEET 1 of 2												
Project No.: 21261								Drill Date: 2023-03-23	Northing: 43.497758			
Project Name: Proposed Residential Development								Drilling Method: Hollow Stem/Coring	Easting	-79.	726512	
Loc	Location: 3275TrafalgarRoad,Oakville							Datum: Geodetic Elevation	Ground	Surf	ace Eleva	ation: 179.6
Depth Scale (m)	Stratigraphic Symbol	Depth/Elevation (m)	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts/150 mm	N Value	Undrained Shear Strength Values Moisture / Plasticity 40 80 120 160 Penetration Test Values Moisture / Plasticity PL MC 20 40 60 80 10	Well Details	Groundwater Conditions	Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm	Comments
-0-		 180.0 	Organic Material ~250 mm Topsoil	1	SS	33	8	x		36" Locking Vault		
- - 		 179.0 — _ _ _ _	Sitty Clay trace gravel. Brown, firm to stiff, moist. Sitt Till trace gravel, trace sand, trace clay. Brown, very stiff, moist.	2	SS	5 5 6 13 16	29					
- - - 2 -		178.0 — - - -	very stiff to hard.	3	SS	7 12 18	30					
- - - 3 -		177.0 — — — —	Shale TCR = 100% RQD = 0%	4	SS CORE	50-3"	50	×	3/8" Bentonite Pell			
- - - -		176.0 — — — — 175.0 —	TCR = 100% RQD = 46%	6	CORE				- - 1 4			GW Monitoring Level August 2021
-5 - - - -6 -		- - 174.0 - - -	TCR = 100% RQD = 55%	7	CORE							
- - - - - -		173.0 — - - - 172.0 — -	TCR = 100% RQD = 94%	8	CORE							
8 - - - - - 9 -		- - 171.0 - - - -	TCR = 100% RQD = 83%	9	CORE							
- - <u>- 10</u>		170.0 — — —	Additional Notes: 1. Borehole open to approximately	18.7	m deptr	n on com	pletion.			204		K LIMITED
	Ć		 Groundwater or water seepage 4. 	not ei	ncounter	red durin	ıg drilling		H	∠ut Ham F	ilton, On Ph: (905	tario, L8W 2E1) 383-3733

LOG OF BOREHOLE BHMW1D-23

LOG OF BOREHOLE BHMW1D-23 SHEET 2 of 2																
Project No.: 21261						Drill Date: 2023-03-23						Northing: 43.497758				
Proj	Project Name: Proposed Residential Development							Drilling Method: Hollow Stem/Corir	g	Easting:	-79.	726512				
Loca	ation: 32	275 Trafa	algarRoad,Oakville					Datum: Geodetic Elevation		Ground	Surf	ace Elev	ation: 179.6			
		S	ubsurface Conditions		Sa	amples		Penetration / Strength Results	Moisture / Plasticity							
Depth Scale (m)	Stratigraphic Symbol	Depth/Elevation (m)	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts/150 mm	N Value	Undrained Shear Strength Values ▲ (kPa) ▲ 40 80 120 160 Penetration Test Values × (Blows / 0.3m) × 20 40 60 80	PL MC LL Moisture / Plasticity 10 20 30 40	Vell Details	Groundwater Conditions	Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm	Comments			
- - - - 11		- - 169.0 -	TCR = 98% RQD = 83%	10	CORE											
- - - 		– – 168.0 <i>–</i> – –	TCR = 100% RQD = 91%	11	CORE											
- - - 13		 167.0														
- - - - 		- - 166.0 -	TCR = 100% RQD = 96%	12	CORE											
- - - 			TCR = 100% RQD = 93%	13	CORE											
- 		164.0 — — — 163.0 — —	TCR = 100% RQD = 99%	14	CORE					#10 Well Slot Sand	sreen					
- - - - 18 -		– 162.0 — – – –	TCR = 100% RQD = 91%	15	CORE											
- - 19 -		161.0 — — — —	End of Log								*					
- - - - 20										_						
- - - - <u>21</u>		- - 159.0 - -														
Additional Notes:								L		DTE	K LIMITED					
Definite open to approximately 18.7 m depth on completion. 2. Groundwater or water seepage not encountered during drilling. 3. 4.							205 Nebo Road, Unit 4B Hamilton, Ontario, L8W 2E1 Ph: (905) 383-3733									
Proje Proje Loca	ect No.: : ect Name	21261 e: Propo 75 Trafa	sed Residential Development IgarRoad,Oakville					Drill Date: 2023-03-22 Drilling Method: Hollow Stem/Coring Datum: Geodetic	1	Northing: Easting: - Ground S	: 43.4 -79.7 Surfa	49694 72735 Ice Eleva	ation: 179			
----------------------------	--	-----------------------------------	--	--------	--------	--------------------	---------	---	---	-------------------------------------	--------------------------	---	------------			
		Si	ubsurface Conditions		Sa	amples		Penetration / Strength Results	Moisture / Plasticity							
Depth Scale (m)	Stratigraphic Symbol	Depth/Elevation (m)	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts/150 mm	N Value	Undrained Shear Strength Values ▲ (kPa) ▲ 40 80 120 160 Penetration Test Values × (Blows / 0.3m) × 20 40 60 80	PL MC LL H H H Moisture / Plasticity 10 20 30 40	Well Details	Groundwater Conditions	Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm	Comments			
_	*****	_	Organic Material ~250 mm Topsoil			2			10.0							
-	1	-	Clayey Silt trace sand. Brown, firm, moist.	1	SS	2 3 4	5		φ							
- 1 -		- 178.0 — -	very stiff.	2	SS	8 12 12	24		10.0	_						
- - 2		- - 177.0 —	Silt Till trace gravel, trace sand. Brown, dense, moist.	3	SS	8 19 20	39		0 10.0	_						
		-		4	SS	10 20 28	48		"10.0							
		176.0 — - -	trace clay. Very moist to wet.	5	SS	23 30 31	61		10.0							
- 		- 175.0 — - -	Shale							-						
F		_	TCR = 100% RQD = 36%	6	CORE	50-2"	50	Â	Ĭ							
5 6 		174.0 — - - 173.0 — -	TCR = 100% RQD = 63%	7	CORE					-						
- - 7 - - -		- - 172.0 — - - -	TCR = 100% RQD = 68%	8	CORE					-						
		171.0 — - - 170.0 — -	TCR = 100% RQD = 65%	9	CORE					-						
- - - 10		169.0 -	Additional Notes: 1. Borehole open to approximately	18.5	m dept) on con	pletion			LA	2N	DTE	K LIMITED			
	1. Borehole open to approximately 18.5 m depth on completion. 205 Nebo Road, Unit 4B 2. Groundwater or water seepage encountered during drilling at approximately 3.2 m below the ground surface. 205 Nebo Road, Unit 4B 3. 4.															

	LOG OF BOREHOLE BH2-23 SHEET 2 of 2												
Proj	ect No.:	21261						Drill Date: 2023-03-22		Northing:	43.	49694	
Proj	ect Nam	ne: Propo	osed Residential Development					Drilling Method: Hollow Stem/Coring		Easting: -	79.7	72735	
Loc	ation: 32	275 Trafa	algar Road, Oakville					Datum: Geodetic		Ground S	urfa	ace Eleva	ation: 179
		s	ubsurface Conditions		Sa	amples		Penetration / Strength Results Moisture	/ Plasticity				
Depth Scale (m)	Stratigraphic Symbol	Depth/Elevation (m)	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts/150 mm	N Value	Undrained Shear Strength Values ▲ (kPa) ▲ 40 80 120 160 PL M Penetration Test Values × (Blows / 0.3m) × 20 40 60 80 10 20	MC LL I I I I I I I I	Well Details	Groundwater Conditions	Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm	Comments
- - - -		- - - 168 0	- TCR = 100% RQD = 78%	10	CORE								
- - - 		- - - 167.0 - -	TCR = 100% RQD = 86%	11	CORE								
- 		 166.0 	TCR = 94% RQD = 87%	12	CORE								
- 14 - - - - 15 -		165.0 — - - - 164.0 — - -	TCR = 97% RQD = 91%	13	CORE								
- 		- 163.0 — - - - -	TCR = 100% RQD = 90%	14	CORE					-			
- 17 		162.0 - - - 161.0 - - -	TCR = 100% RQD = 90%	15	CORE								
- - - - - - -			End of Log										
-20		159.0	Additional Notes: 1. Borehole open to approximately 2. Groundwater or water seepage of 3. 4.	18.5 encor	m depti untered	l h on corr during d	pletion. rilling at	approximately 3.2 m below the ground surface.		LA : Ha	205 amil P	Nebo F Iton, On Ph: (905	K LIMITED Road, Unit 4B atario, L8W 2E1) 383-3733

		0.1.0.01			-		0. 0							
Proj	ect No.: ect Nam	21261 Ie: Propo	sed Residential Development					Drill Date: 2021-08-11 Drilling Method: Hollow Stem - Elem	nents	Northing: Easting: -7	43.497308			
Loca	ation: 32	275 Trafa	algarRoad,Oakville					Datum: Geodetic Elevation		Ground St	urface Elev	vation: 184.19		
		S	ubsurface Conditions		Si	amples		Penetration / Strength Results	Moisture / Plasticity					
Depth Scale (m)	Stratigraphic Symbol	Depth/Elevation (m)	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts/150 mm	N Value	Undrained Shear Strength Values ▲ (kPa) ▲ 40 80 120 160 Penetration Test Values × (Blows / 0.3m) × 20 40 60 80	PL MC LL Moisture / Plasticity 10 20 30 40	Well Details	Groundwater Conditions Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm	Comments		
-0		185.0 — — — — —	Organic Material								sistent Vault			
-		184.0 —	350 mm Fill Silty sand, trace clay, trace	1	SS	2 4 6	10	Ť	,15.0		Water Re	SS1 - PHCs, VOCs, PAHs and M&I		
- 1 -		- 183.0 — -	Clayey silt, trace sand, trace gravel. brown, stiff, moist.	2	SS	3 6 7	13	*	19.0		12" Locking,			
- - -2 -		- - 182.0 —		3	SS	3 3 5	8	*	18.0	2				
- - - -3				4	SS	4 4 7	11		20.0					
-		181.0		5	SS	4 4 10	14	+ - \	22.0			SS5 - PHCs, VOCs, PAHs and M&I		
4 		 180.0	Clayey Silt Till Trace sand, trace gravel. brown, hard, moist.											
- 5		- - 179.0-		6	SS	6 13 19	32	×	1 3.0	5 2 m				
		-								E		GW Monitoring Level		
- - -		178.0 — — —		7	SS	8 20 27	47	×	"11.0	9 9 -		SS7 - PHCs, VOCs, PAHs, M&I and OC Pesticides		
- 7 -		- - 177.0 -	Shale Weathered bedrock red, hard, dry to moist.								ī			
				8	AUG			-	16.0	tot 10 Scre				
- - -		176.0 — — —								e 40 PVC S				
-9 - -		 175.0								1/2" Schedu				
_ 10 _		- - 174.0 —	End of Log								<u>-</u>			
	Additional Notes: 1. Borehole open to approximately 10 m upon completion. 2. Groundwater or water seepage encountered at approximately 5.2 m below ground surface. 3. 4.											205 Nebo Road, Unit 4B Hamilton, Ontario, L8W 2E1 Ph: (905) 383-3733		

SHEET 1	of 2
---------	------

					-	.00	0. 0	OREHOLE BII0-20				3	
Proje	Project No.: 21261 Drill Date: 2023-03-20 Project Name: Proposed Residential Development Drilling Method: Hollow Stem/Coring Location: 3275 Trafalgar Road. Oakville Datum: Geodetic Elevation										: 43.	495378	
Proje	ect Na	me: Propo	osed Residential Development					Drilling Method: Hollow Stem/Coring		Easting:	-79.	728858	
Loca	ation: :	3275 Traf	algarRoad,Oakville					Datum: Geodetic Elevation	1	Ground	Surfa	ace Eleva	ation: 180.6
		S	ubsurface Conditions		Sa	amples		Penetration / Strength Results	Moisture / Plasticity				
Depth Scale (m)	Stratigraphic Symbol	Depth/Elevation (m)	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts/150 mm	N Value	Undrained Shear Strength Values ▲ (kPa) ▲ 40 80 120 160 Penetration Test Values × (Blows / 0.3m) × 20 40 60 80	PL MC LL H O I Moisture / Plasticity ° 10 20 30 40°	Well Details	Groundwater Conditions	Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm	Comments
	****		Organic Material			7			15.0				
-	┦	- 180.0	Clayey Silt trace gravel. Brown, stiff, moist.	1	SS	6 4 4	10						
- 1 -	7	-	very stiff.	2	SS	7 9 10	19		13.1				
		- 179.0 -	Silt Till trace gravel, trace sand, trace clay. Brown, hard, moist.	3	SS	7 12 21	33		,13.2				
		 178.0		4	SS	11 25 29	54		o ^{10.1}				
-3		-											
-		- - 177.0		5	SS	14 22 27	49	_ /	,11.1				
- 4 -		-		6	SS	16 18 20	38	*	9.2				
		 176.0		7	SS	17 23 21	44	- \ *	9.0				
5 -		-											
-		175.0 -											
F			Shale TCR = 100%	8	SS	50-2"	50	* 	μ ^{2.8}				
E		-	RUD = 70%	9	CORE			-					
Ę		174.0 -											
7 		- - 173.0 -	TCR = 98% RQD = 63%	10	CORE								
			TCR = 98% RQD = 67%	11	CORE								
			<u> </u>					-					
	10 - Additional Notes: 1. Borehole open to approximately 18.7 m depth on completion. 2. Groundwater or water seepage not encountered during drilling. 3. 4.											Nebo F Iton, On Ph: (905	K LIMITED Road, Unit 4B tario, L8W 2E1) 383-3733

	LOG OF BOREHOLE BH3-23 SHEET 2 of 2												
Project No.: 21261 Drill Date: 2023-03-20 Project Name: Proposed Residential Development Drilling Method: Hollow Stem/Coring Location: 3275 Trafalgar Road, Oakville Datum: Geodetic Elevation										Northing	j: 43.4	95378	
Proj	ect Nam	e: Propo	osed Residential Development					Drilling Method: Hollow Stem/Corin	g	Easting:	-79.7	28858	
Loca	ation: 32	75 Trafa	algarRoad,Oakville					Datum: Geodetic Elevation		Ground	Surfa	ce Eleva	ation: 180.6
		S	ubsurface Conditions		Sa	amples		Penetration / Strength Results	Moisture / Plasticity				
Depth Scale (m)	Stratigraphic Symbol	Depth/Elevation (m)	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts/150 mm	N Value	Undrained Shear Strength Values ▲ (kPa) ▲ 40 80 120 160 Penetration Test Values × (Blows / 0.3m) × 20 40 60 80	PL MC LL Moisture / Plasticity 10 20 30 40	Vell Details	Groundwater Conditions	Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm	Comments
- - - 		- - 170.0 -	TCR = 100% RQD = 78%	12	CORE								
- - - 		- 169.0 - - - -	TCR = 100% RQD = 67%	13	CORE					_			
_		168.0 —											
- - 13 - - - - -		- - 167.0 -	TCR = 100% RQD = 78% UCS = 45.6 MPa	14	CORE					-			
- - - - 15 -		 166.0 	TCR = 100% RQD = 80%	15	CORE					-			
- 		165.0 — - - 164.0 — - -	TCR = 100% RQD = 84%	16	CORE					_			
- - - 		- - 163.0 - - - -	TCR = 100% RQD = 92%	17	CORE					-			
- - - - -		162.0 — - - 161.0 — -	End of Log							_			
-20												TE	
			Additional Notes: 1. Borehole open to approximately 2. Groundwater or water seepage 3. 4.	18.7 not ei	m depth ncounte	n on com red durir	npletion. ng drilling	I.			205 Iamil P	Nebo F ton, On h: (905	Road, Unit 4B tario, L8W 2E1) 383-3733

LOG OF BOREHOLE BHMW4-23

Proj Proj Loc	ect No.: ect Nam ation: 32	21261 1 e: Propo 275 Trafa	sed Residential Development IgarRoad,Oakville					Drill Date: 2023-03-22 Drilling Method: Hollow Stem/Coring Datum: Geodetic Elevation	3	Northing: 43.495439 Easting: -79.727801 Ground Surface Elevation: 178.9 ty			
		S	ubsurface Conditions		Sa	amples	1	Penetration / Strength Results	Moisture / Plasticity	_			
Depth Scale (m)	Stratigraphic Symbol	Depth/Elevation (m)	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts/150 mm	N Value	Undrained Shear Strength Values ▲ (kPa) ▲ 40 80 120 160 Penetration Test Values × (Blows / 0.3m) × 20 40 60 80	PL MC LL Moisture / Plasticity 10 20 30 40	Well Details	Groundwater Conditions	Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm	Comments
-0	*****		E 11					_			36" Locking Vault		
			Sand, some gravel. Brown, compact, moist.	1	ss	2 10 10 9	20	, X	10.6				
- 1 -		178.0 -	silty clay, trace gravel. Stiff.	2	SS	2 5 6	11		26.2				
- - 2		 177.0 — -	Silt Till trace gravel, trace clay. Brown, very stiff, moist.	3	ss	4 10 12	22		¢14.3	-			
-		-	trace sand. Hard.	4	SS	7 15 24	39		14.4	stallad all			
3 		-	no clay, trace cobbles. Dense.	5	ss	12 19 27	46		10.3				
- - -4 -		 175.0 	trace clay. Hard							- 1 4 m			GW Monitoring Level August 2021
- 5 -		 174.0 	tade day. naru.	6	ss	9 14 32	46	*	9.4	-			
- 6		- 173.0 — -	Shale	7	SS	50-2"	50	-	7.8	-			
F		_	TCR = 100% RQD = 33%			002		- Î					
- - 7 - -			TCR = 98% RQD = 83%	8	CORE								
		171.0 — - - 170.0 — - -	TCR = 100% RQD = 84%	9	CORE								
- - - 10		- - 169.0 —								_			
Additional Notes: 1. Borehole open to approximately 18.7 m depth on completion. 2. Groundwater or water seepage not encountered during drilling. 3. 4.											LANDTEK LIMITED 205 Nebo Road, Unit 4B Hamilton, Ontario, L8W 2E1 Ph: (905) 383-3733		

LOG OF BOREHOLE BHMW4-23

	LOG OF BOREHOLE BHMW4-23 SHEET 2 of 2												
Pro	ect No.:	21261						Drill Date: 2023-03-22		Northing	j: 43	.495439	
Pro	ject Nam	e: Propo	osed Residential Development					Drilling Method: Hollow Stem/Corir	g	Easting:	-79.	.727801	
Loc	ation: 32	275 Traf	algar Road, Oakville					Datum: Geodetic Elevation		Ground	Surf	ace Elev	ation: 178.9
		s	ubsurface Conditions		Sa	amples		Penetration / Strength Results	Moisture / Plasticity				
Depth Scale (m)	Stratigraphic Symbol	Depth/Elevation (m)	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts/150 mm	N Value	Undrained Shear Strength Values ▲ (kPa) ▲ 40 80 120 160 Penetration Test Values × (Blows / 0.3m) × 20 40 60 80	PL MC LL	Well Details	Groundwater Conditions	Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm	Comments
F		_	TCR = 99%	10	CORE								
╞			RQD = 76%										
F		_	UCS = 41.5 MPa										
		168.0 —						_					
- - - - 12 -		- - - 167.0 - - -	TCR = 100% RQD = 95%	11	CORE								
- - 13 - - -		- 166.0 — - - -	TCR = 97% RQD = 74%	12	CORE					-			
- 14 - - - - 15 -			TCR = 100% RQD = 94%	13	CORE								
- - 16 - - -		- 163.0 — - - 162.0 —	TCR = 98% RQD = 98%	14	CORE					#10 Well Slot Sand	lot 10 Screen		
17		- 102.0		-				-			IC SI		
- - - 18 -		- - 161.0 - - -	TCR = 98% RQD = 98%	15	CORE						2" Schedule 40 PV		
E] -	End of Log					1			¥		
— 19 - -		160.0 — - - -											
F.		159.0 —											
20		-								1			
F			-										
╞		-											
╞		158.0-											
-21										-			
			Additional Notes: 1. Borehole open to approximately 2. Groundwater or water seepage 3.	18.7 not e	' m depti ncounte	h on con red durir	npletion. ng drilling].			20 1am	5 Nebo iilton, Or	Road, Unit 4B ntario, L8W 2E1
ΙL	\checkmark		4.							1	I	Ph: (905	5) 383-3733

LOG OF BOREHOLE MW111-20

SHEET 1 of 1

Drill Date: 2020-09-23 Project No.: 21261 Northing: 43.4967758989496 Easting: -79.7276524809264 Project Name: Proposed Residential Development Drilling Method: Hollow Stem - Landshark Location: 3275 Trafalgar Road, Oakville Ground Surface Elevation: 179.25 Datum: Geodetic Elevation Subsurface Conditions Samples Penetration / Strength Results Moisture / Plasticity Groundwater Conditions **Undrained Shear Strength Values** Stratigraphic Symbol Blow Counts/150 mm Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm Depth/Elevation (m) (kPa) 80 120 40 160 Comments MC LL PI Depth Scale (m) Description Ó ł ÷ Well Details N Value Penetration Test Values (Blows / 0.3m) Moisture / Plasticity Number × × Type 10 20 30 40 20 40 60 80 180.0 -36" Locking Vault -0 Organic Material 150 mm 79.0 Fill Clayey silt, trace sand, trace gravel. 178.0 2 Bentonite Pellets 77.0 GW Monitoring Level August 2021 Shale Highly weathered, very weak, red, dry. 3 3/8" 76.0 •4 75.0 5 74.0 6 73.0 - 7 72.0 PVC Screel 40 Well Slot Sand -8 71.0 E End of Log · 9 170.0 10 Additional Notes: 1. Well installed to 8.6 m depth below ground surface. LANDTEK LIMITED 205 Nebo Road, Unit 4B 2. 3. Hamilton, Ontario, L8W 2E1 Ph: (905) 383-3733 4.

Proje Proje Loca	ect No.: : ect Name ation: 32	21261 e: Propo 75 Trafa	sed Residential Development algar Road,Oakville	nents Drilling	Northing: 43. Easting: -79. Ground Surfa	.495868 728381 ace Eleva	ation: 179.3					
		Sı	ubsurface Conditions		Sa	amples	1	Penetration / Strength Results	Moisture / Plasticity	_		
Depth Scale (m)	Stratigraphic Symbol	Depth/Elevation (m)	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts/150 mm	N Value	Undrained Shear Strength Values ▲ (kPa) ▲ 40 80 120 160 Penetration Test Values × (Blows / 0.3m) × 20 40 60 80	PL MC LL Moisture / Plasticity 10 20 30 40	Well Details Groundwater Conditions	Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm	Comments
-		- 179.0 -	Granular 300 mm Fill Clayey silt, trace gravel. brown,	1	SS	26 24 14 14	38) /	, 1.0			SS1 - PAHs and M&I
- - - - - - 2 -		- - 178.0 - - 177.0	Clayey Silt Trace sand, trace gravel. brown, very stiff, moist.	. 2	SS	6 7 12	19		¢8.0	-		SS2 - PHCs, VOCs, and OC Pesticides
- - -3		-	Shale Highly weathered, very weak, red, dry.	3	AUG	50	50		o ^{10.0}	_		
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		176.0 — - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	End of Log									
	Additional Notes: 1. Borehole open to approximately 3.5 m depth upon completion. 2. No groundwater or water seepage encountered. 3. 4.										DTE 5 Nebo F ilton, Or Ph: (905	K LIMITED Road, Unit 4B Itario, L8W 2E1 I) 383-3733

Proje Proje	Drill Date: 2021-08-12 Northing: 43.496284 Drilling Method: Solid Stem - Elements Drilling Easting: -79.728048 Cation: 3275 Trafalgar Road, Oakville Datum: Geodetic Elevation Ground Surface Elevation: 179 Subsurface Conditions Samples Penetration / Strength Results Moisture / Plasticity											
1000		Si Si			Sa	mnles		Penetration / Strength Results	Moisture / Plasticity			
Depth Scale (m)	Stratigraphic Symbol	Depth/Elevation (m)	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts/150 mm	N Value	Undrained Shear Strength Values ▲ (kPa) ▲ 40 80 120 160 Penetration Test Values × (Blows / 0.3m) × 20 40 60 80	PL MC LL Moisture / Plasticity ° 10 20 30 40°	Well Details Groundwater Conditions	Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm	Comments
-		-	Asphalt 300 mm Fill Silty sand, trace gravel, trace	1	SS	23 27 13	40	Ĭ,	4.0			SS1 - PHCs, VOCs, and Metals
- - -1 -		 178.0 -	clay. brown, hard, moist.			15						
- - -2		 - 177.0	Clayey Silt Till Trace sand, trace gravel. brown,	2	SS	7 8 11	19	×	10.0			SS2 - PHCs, VOCs, PAHs, and OC Pesticides
- - - - - 3			Shale Highly weathered, very weak, red, hard, dry.	3	AUG				9.0	_		
-		_	End of Log	_								
-4 - -		175.0 — _ _								-		
- 5 -		- 174.0 — -								_		
- - -		- - 173.0										
-		-										
- 7 -		- 172.0 — -								_		
-		- - 171.0										
		-										
- 9 -		- 170.0 — -										
- - -		-										
Additional Notes: 1. Borehole open to approximately 3.5 m depth upon completion. 2. No groundwater or water seepage encountered. 3. 4.												K LIMITED Road, Unit 4B htario, L8W 2E1)) 383-3733

SHEET	1	of 1	
-------	---	------	--

Proje	ect No.: ect Nam	Drill Date: 2021-08-11 Northing: 43.496486 Drilling Method: Solid Stem - Elements Drilling Easting: -79.727345 Datum: Geodetic Elevation Ground Surface Elevation: 178.3											
Loca	ation: 32	75 Trafa	lgarRoad,Oakville					Datum: Geodetic Elevation	its Drining	Ground S	Surfa	ice Eleva	ation: 178.3
		S	ubsurface Conditions		Sa	mples		Penetration / Strength Results	Moisture / Plasticity				
Depth Scale (m)	Stratigraphic Symbol	Depth/Elevation (m)	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts/150 mm	N Value	Undrained Shear Strength Values ▲ (kPa) ▲ 40 80 120 160 Penetration Test Values × (Blows / 0.3m) × 20 40 60 80	PL MC LL HOT Moisture / Plasticity 10 20 30 40	Well Details	Groundwater Conditions	Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm	Comments
-		 178.0	Organic Material 250 mm Fill Silty clay, trace sand. brown, firm,	1	SS	2 3 4 5	7	X	×43	0			SS1 - PHCs, VOCs, PAHs, M&I, and OC
- 1 		- - 177.0	moist.			5				-			Pesticides
2		_	Clayey Silt Till Trace sand, trace gravel. brown, hard, moist.	2	SS	7 11 19	30		<i>"</i> 8.0	_			
- - - - -		 176.0 	Shale Highly weathered, very weak, red, dry.							-			
-		 175.0 — 		3	SS	22 39 50	50	×	12.0				
F		-	End of Log										
-4		_											
E		174.0 —											
\mathbf{F}		-											
-5 -		-											
Ļ		-											
-		_								_			
F		- 172.0 —											
F		-											
		-								_			
F		171.0 —											
F													
-8													
F		170.0 —											
F													
-9													
F		169.0 —											
F													
10 Additional Notes: 1. Borehole open to approximately 3.5 m depth upon completion. 2. Groundwater or water seepage encountered at approximately 2.7 m below ground surface. 3. 4.										L ./. н	205 amil F	Nebo F Iton, On Ph: (905	K LIMITED Road, Unit 4B tario, L8W 2E1) 383-3733

Proje Proje	ect No.: ect Nam	21261 e: Propo	sed Residential Development		_		<u>.</u>	Drill Date: 2021-08-12 Drilling Method: Solid Stem - Elemen	nts Drilling	Northing: 4 Easting: -7	3.4970050 9.7271432	055183 861035
LUCA		S	ubsurface Conditions		Sa	amples		Penetration / Strength Results	Moisture / Plasticity			
Depth Scale (m)	Stratigraphic Symbol	Depth/Elevation (m)	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts/150 mm	N Value	Undrained Shear Strength Values ▲ (kPa) ▲ 40 80 120 160 Penetration Test Values × (Blows / 0.3m) × 20 40 60 80	PL MC LL → → I Moisture / Plasticity 10 20 30 40	Well Details	Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm	Comments
-		179.0 — - -	Organic Material 275 mm Fill Silty sand to clayey silt, trace sand, trace gravel. brown, stiff,	1	SS	4 5 8 8	13	×	20.0			SS1 - M&I and OC Pesticides
- 1 -		- 178.0 — -	Triots. Clayey Silt Till Trace sand, trace gravel, trace grey shale fragments. brown, very stiff, moist.	2	SS	6 13 17	30		/11.0			
- - -2		- - 177.0 —	Shale Highly weathered, very weak, red, dry.	3	SS	8 22 29	57	×	,11.0	-		
-		-		4	AUG			-	,11.0			
-		176.0 — - -	End of Log	5	AUG			-	8 .0			
- -4 -		- - 175.0 — - -										
		- 174.0 — - -										
- 		- 173.0 — -								-		
- -7		- - 172.0 — -								-		
		- - 171.0 —								-		
		– – –										
-9 - - -		170.0 — - - -										
- 10			Additional Notes: 1. Borehole open to approximately 2. No groundwater or water seepag 3. 4.	3.5 r je en	n depth counter	upon co ed.	mpletion			LA 2º Har	NOTE D5 Nebo I nilton, Or Ph: (905	K LIMITED Road, Unit 4B Itario, L8W 2E1) 383-3733

LOG OF BOREHOLE MW117-20

SHEET 1 of 2 Project No.: 21261 Drill Date: 2021-09-23 Northing: 43.495751 Project Name: Proposed Residential Development Drilling Method: Hollow Stem Easting: -79.728563 Location: 3275 Trafalgar Road, Oakville Ground Surface Elevation: 180.3 Datum: Geodetic Elevation Subsurface Conditions Penetration / Strength Results Samples Moisture / Plasticity Groundwater Conditions **Undrained Shear Strength Values** Stratigraphic Symbol Blow Counts/150 mm Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm Depth/Elevation (m) (kPa) 80 120 40 160 Comments MC LL PI Depth Scale (m) Description Ó н ÷ Well Details N Value Penetration Test Values (Blows / 0.3m) Moisture / Plasticity Number × × Type 10 20 30 40 20 40 60 80 181.0 -36" Locking Vault -0 Asphalt 400 mm 80.0 Fill Clayey silt. 179.0 Clayey Silt Till 2 trace gravel. Brown, moist. 178.0 Shale Highly weathered, very weak, red, dry. 3 77.0 •4 76.0 3/8" Bentonite Pellets -5 75.0 6 74.0 - 7 73.0 8 72.0 71.0 GW Monitoring Level LANDTEK LIMATTED Additional Notes: 1. Monitoring Well installed at 17.3 m depth below ground surface. 205 Nebo Road, Unit 4B 2. 3. Hamilton, Ontario, L8W 2E1 Ph: (905) 383-3733

4.

LOG OF BOREHOLE MW117-20

SHEET 2 of 2 Project No.: 21261 Drill Date: 2021-09-23 Northing: 43.495751 Project Name: Proposed Residential Development Drilling Method: Hollow Stem Easting: -79.728563 Location: 3275 Trafalgar Road, Oakville Datum: Geodetic Elevation Ground Surface Elevation: 180.3 Subsurface Conditions Penetration / Strength Results Moisture / Plasticity Samples Groundwater Conditions **Undrained Shear Strength Values** Stratigraphic Symbol Blow Counts/150 mm Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm Depth/Elevation (m) (kPa) 80 120 40 160 Comments MC LL Depth Scale (m) PI Description Ó ł ÷ **MellrDetails** N Value Penetration Test Values (Blows / 0.3m) Moisture / Plasticity Number × × Type 10 20 30 40 20 40 60 80 70.0 -11 69.0 12 68.0 13 67.0 14 166.0 15 65.0 #40 Well Slot Sand Screer þ R 16 64.0 17 163.0 End of Log - 18 162.0 19 161.0 20 160.0 LANDTEK LIMITED Additional Notes: 1. Monitoring Well installed at 17.3 m depth below ground surface. 205 Nebo Road, Unit 4B 2. 3. 4. Hamilton, Ontario, L8W 2E1 Ph: (905) 383-3733

Proj	ect No.:	21261					_	Drill Date: 2021-08-11		Northing	: 43.	497598	
Proj	ect Nam	e: Propo	osed Residential Development					Drilling Method: Solid Stem - Eleme	nts Drilling	Easting:	-79.7	726429	tion: 170.4
LOCA	ation: 32	.ro irata Si	ubsurface Conditions		Sa	amples		Penetration / Strength Results	Moisture / Plasticitv			ICE EIEV	auvii. 179.4
Depth Scale (m)	Stratigraphic Symbol	Depth/Elevation (m)	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts/150 mm	N Value	Undrained Shear Strength Values ▲ (kPa) ▲ ▲ 40 80 120 160 Penetration Test Values × (Blows / 0.3m) × 20 40 60 80	PL MC LL → → ↓ Moisture / Plasticity 10 20 30 40	Well Details	Groundwater Conditions	Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm	Comments
-		_	Organic Material			5			_15.0				
-		179.0 —	Fill Clayey silt, trace gravel, trace sand. brown, stiff, moist.	1	SS	6 6	12						SS1 - PHCs, VOCs, M&I, and OC Pesticides
		- - 178.0 —	Clayey Silt Till Trace sand, trace gravel. brown, hard, moist.	2	SS	4 15 16	31		12.0	_			
- - -2		-	Shale Highly weathered. red, hard, dry	3	SS	8 14 16	30	×	10.0	_			
-		 177.0		4	AUG				8.0				
-		_											
-		- 176.0 —		5	AUG				6 .0				
-		-	End of Log										
-4		-											
F		175.0 —											
F		-											
-5		-											
F		- 174.0 —											
E		-											
-6		-											
F		- 173.0 —											
-		_											
-7		-											
-		-											
F		- 172.0											
L.		-											
F		-											
È		171.0 —											
-		-											
– 9										1			
F		170.0 —											
F													
			Additional Notes: 1. Borehole open to approximately 2. No groundwater or water seepag 3. 4.	3.5 n je en	n depth counter	upon co ed.	mpletion			н	205 ami	DTE Nebo F Iton, On Ph: (905	K LIMITED Road, Unit 4B tario, L8W 2E1) 383-3733

LOG OF BOREHOLE MW118-20

SHEET 1 of 1 Drill Date: 2020-09-23 Project No.: 21261 Northing: 43.495434 Project Name: Proposed Residential Development Drilling Method: Hollow Stem Easting: -79.727865 Location: 3275 Trafalgar Road, Oakville Ground Surface Elevation: 179.7 Datum: Geodetic Elevation Subsurface Conditions Moisture / Plasticity Samples Penetration / Strength Results Groundwater Conditions **Undrained Shear Strength Values** Stratigraphic Symbol Blow Counts/150 mm Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm Depth/Elevation (m) (kPa) 80 120 40 160 Comments MC LL PI Depth Scale (m) Description Ó н ÷ Well Details N Value Penetration Test Values (Blows / 0.3m) Moisture / Plasticity Number × × Type 10 20 30 40 20 40 60 80 36" Locking Vault 180.0 -0 Organic Material 250 mm Fill Clayey silt, trace gravel. 79.0 Clayey Silt Till Trace sand, trace gravel. 178.0 2 3/8" Bentonite Pellets -77.0 Shale Highly weathered, very weak, red, dry.. 3 76.0 GW Monitoring Level August 2021 •4 75.0 5 74.0 6 73.0 - 7 72.0 PVC Screer 8 40 Well Slot Sand ħ 71.0 · 9 End of Log 170.0 10 LANDTEK LIMITED Additional Notes: 1. Monitoring well installed at 8.9 m depth below ground surface. 205 Nebo Road, Unit 4B 2. 3. Hamilton, Ontario, L8W 2E1 Ph: (905) 383-3733 4.

Proj Proj	ect No.: ect Nam	21261 e: Propo	sed Residential Development					Drill Date: 2021-08-12 Drilling Method: Solid Stem - Eleme	nts Drilling	Northing: 43 Easting: -79	3.49514 .728288	
Loca	ation: 32	275 Irata	algar Road, Oakville	1				Datum: Geodetic Elevation	Maiatura / Diastisitu	Ground Sur	lace Elev	ation: 180.9
		s	ubsurface Conditions		Sa	amples		Penetration / Strength Results	Moisture / Plasticity	suo		
ale (m)	bhic Symbol	vation (m)	Description			ints/150 mm		Undrained Snear Strength Values ▲ (kPa) ▲ 40 80 120 160		ils ater Conditi	ce / PID :L(%)] / ppm	Comments
Depth Sca	Stratigrap	Depth/Ele		Number	Type	Blow Cou	N Value	Penetration Test Values × (Blows / 0.3m) × 20 40 60 80	Moisture / Plasticity 10 20 30 40	Well Detai	Headspac (ppm) [LE	
_	*****	-	Organic Material 250 mm			5			17.0			
-		-	Fill Sandy silt, trace gravel, trace clay. brown, compact, moist.	1	SS	8 9 7	17					SS1 - PHCs, VOCs, and PAHs
		180.0 —		2	SS	4 4 5	9		14.0	_		
-		- - 179.0 —	Silty Clay Trace sand, trace gravel. brown, firm to stiff, moist.	3	SS	3 3 5	8	l k	18.0			
2		-										
-		-	Clayey Silt Till Trace sand, trace gravel. brown, very stiff, moist.	4	SS	7 11 13	24		13.0			
		178.0 —										
-		-		5	SS	11 19 26	45	<u> </u>	10.0			SS5 - PHCs and VOCs
Ē		-	End of Log									
-4		177.0 —								-		
F		-										
F		-										
\vdash		176.0-										
-5		-										
F		-										
\vdash												
E		175.0 —										
– °		-										
\vdash		-										
E		-										
-7		174.0 —										
╞		-										
Ľ		-										
\vdash		-										
-8		- 1/3.0								-		
Ē		-										
\vdash		-										
F		- 172.0 —										
-9		-								1		
╞												
F		-										
L 10		171.0 —										
			Additional Notes: 1. Borehole open to approximately 2. No groundwater or water seepag	3.1 n ge en	n depth counter	upon co ed.	mpletion			LAN 20 Harr	5 Nebo	K LIMITED Road, Unit 4B htario, L8W 2F1
			4.								Ph: (905	5) 383-3733

LOG OF BOREHOLE MW119-20

SHEET 1 of 1 Drill Date: 2020-09-23 Project No.: 21261 Northing: 43.494846 Project Name: Proposed Residential Development Drilling Method: Hollow Stem Easting: -79.728314 Location: 3275 Trafalgar Road, Oakville Ground Surface Elevation: 180.65 Datum: Geodetic Elevation Subsurface Conditions Moisture / Plasticity Samples Penetration / Strength Results Groundwater Conditions **Undrained Shear Strength Values** Stratigraphic Symbol Blow Counts/150 mm Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm Depth/Elevation (m) (kPa) 80 120 40 160 Comments MC LL PI Depth Scale (m) Description Ó н ÷ Well Details N Value Penetration Test Values (Blows / 0.3m) Moisture / Plasticity Number × × Type 10 20 30 40 20 40 60 80 36" Locking Vault 181.0 -0 Organic Material 250 mm Fill Clayey silt, trace sand, trace gravel. 180.0 79.0 2 3/8" Bentonite Pellets -78.0 Clayey Silt Till Trace sand, trace gravel. 3 Shale Highly weathered, very weak, red, dry. 77.0 -4 76.0 5 5.75 75.0 GW Monitoring Level August 2021 6 74 0 - 7 73.0 PVC Screel 8 40 Well Slot Sand Ł 72.0 · 9 End of Log 171.0 10 LANDTEK LIMITED Additional Notes: 1. Monitoring well installed at 8.9 m depth below ground surface. 205 Nebo Road, Unit 4B 2. 3. Hamilton, Ontario, L8W 2E1 Ph: (905) 383-3733

4.

LOG OF BOREHOLE MW120

Proj	ect No.:	21261			-		<u></u>	Drill Date: 2021-08-12		Northing	;: 43.	496104	
Proj Loca	ect Name ation: 32	e: Propo ?75 Trafa	sed Residential Development algarRoad,Oakville					Drilling Method: Solid Stem Datum: Geodetic Elevation		Easting: Ground \$	-79. Surfa	727559 ace Eleva	ation: 178.49
		Sı	ubsurface Conditions		Sa	amples		Penetration / Strength Results	Moisture / Plasticity				
Depth Scale (m)	Stratigraphic Symbol	Depth/Elevation (m)	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts/150 mm	N Value	Undrained Shear Strength Values ▲ (kPa) ▲ 40 80 120 160 Penetration Test Values × (Blows / 0.3m) × 20 40 60 80	PL MC LL HOTH Moisture / Plasticity 10 20 30 40	Well Details	Groundwater Conditions	Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm	Comments
											cking Vault		
-		- - 178.0	Organic Material ~600 mm. Clayey silt, trace sand. brown, loose, moist. Fill	1	SS	3 3 2 3	5		, 19.0		36" Lo		SS1 - PHCs, VOCs, Metals, and OC Pesticides
- 		-	Silty clay, trace sand, trace gravel. brown, very stiff, moist.										
- -		177.0 —	Clayey Silt	2	ss	3	23		23.0				Monitoring Well Dry Aug 2021
-2 -	Ĺ		Trace sand, trace gravel. brown, very stiff, moist.			14		-		1 <mark>1</mark> 2.4 m			GW Monitoring Level
		176.0 — — —	Highly weathered, very weak, reddish grey, dry to moist.					-					Sept 2021
-		_ 175.0 —		3	AUG			-	<i>/</i> 11.0				SS3 - PHCs, VOCs, Metals, and OC Pesticides
- 4 -		-											
- - -5		174.0 — - -		4	AUG			-	¢5.0		eu –		
-		- 173.0 — -									Slot 10 Scre		
6 				5	AUG			-	4.0		ule 40 PVC		
		172.0 — - -						-			- 2" Sched		
		_ _ 171.0 —									×		
		_	End of Log	-									
F													
9													
		169.0 —											
- 10			Additional Notes: 1. Borehole open to approximately 2. No groundwater or water seepag 3. 4.	8.1 n ge en	n depth counter	upon co ed.	mpletion			L <i>A</i>	205 Iami	DTE 5 Nebo F Ilton, Or Ph: (905	K LIMITED Road, Unit 4B htario, L8W 2E1 b) 383-3733

LOG OF BOREHOLE MW121

Proje Proje	ect No.: ect Nam	21261 e: Propo	sed Residential Development					Drill Date: 2021-08-12 Drilling Method: Solid Stem		Northing: Easting: -	43.496256 79.726848	ation: 178 28
1000		Su	Ibsurface Conditions		Sa	amples		Penetration / Strength Results	Moisture / Plasticity	Ground S		
Depth Scale (m)	Stratigraphic Symbol	Depth/Elevation (m)	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts/150 mm	N Value	Undrained Shear Strength Values ▲ (kPa) ▲ 40 80 120 160 Penetration Test Values × (Blows / 0.3m) × 20 40 60 80	PL MC LL → → ↓ Moisture / Plasticity ° 10 20 30 40°	Well Details	Groundwater Conditions Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm	Comments
— 0 —	× × × × ×		Organic Material ~600 mm.	1	SS	2 2	5	*	,20.0		36" Locking Vault [⊥]	SS1 - PHCs, VOCs, and
- - 1 -		- - - 177.0	Fill Clayey silt, trace sand, trace gravel. brown, firm to stiff, moist.			3 3						Metals
- -2 - -			Shale Highly weathered, very weak, red, dry to moist.	2	SS	3 6 8	14	*		ovor benonite P		GW Monitoring Level Aug 2021
3 		 175.0 		3	AUG				7.0			SS3 - PHCs, VOCs, Metals, and OC Pesticides
- - - - 5 -		174.0 — — — — 173.0 —		4	AUG				6.0			
- - - - -		 172.0 	End of Log	5	AUG				ي 5.0	-		
- - - -		 171.0 	-									
- 8 - 9 										-		
- - - - 10		169.0	Additional Notes:									KLIMITED
		Additional Notes: 1. Borehole open, with cave, to approximately 5.8 m depth upon completion. 2. Groundwater or water seepage encountered at approximately 5.0 m depth below ground surface. 3. 4. Additional Notes: 1. Borehole open, with cave, to approximately 5.8 m depth upon completion. 205 Nebo Road, Unit 4B Hamilton, Ontario, L8W 2E1 Ph: (905) 383-3733										

LOG OF BOREHOLE BHMW122D-23

					L	.0G (OF B	OREHOLE BHMW122D-23			s	HEET 1 of 2
Pro	ject No.:	21261						Drill Date: 2023-03-24	Northing:	: 43.	497477	
Pro	ject Nam	ne: Propo	osed Residential Development					Drilling Method: Hollow Stem/Coring	Easting: -	-79.7	726035	
Loc	ation: 3	275 Trafa	algarRoad,Oakville			<u> </u>		Datum: Geodetic Elevation	Ground S	Surfa	ice Eleva	ation: 178.9
Depth Scale (m)	Stratigraphic Symbol	Depth/Elevation (m)	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts/150 mm	N Value	Penetration / Strength Results Moisture / Plasticity Undrained Shear Strength Values 40 A 40 80 120 160 Penetration Test Values × (Blows / 0.3m) × PL MC LL 10 20 40 60 80 10 20 30 40	Well Details	Groundwater Conditions	Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm	Comments
			Organic Material ~250 mm Topsoil Silty Clay trace gravel, trace sand. Brown, stiff, moist. very stiff. Sitt Till trace gravel, trace sand, trace grey shale fragments. Red and brown, dense, moist. Shale TCR = 100% RQD = 0% TCR = 100% RQD = 86% TCR = 100% RQD = 66%	2 1 3 4 7 7 8 8 9	SS SS SS SS CORE CORE	4 4 5 6 5 7 9 9 10 19 33 33 33 50-5" 50-5"	9 16 52 50 50			36" Locking Vault ¹ G		GW Monitoring Level August 2021
- - - -		170.0 — — — — 169.0 —										
10			Additional Notes:	1							DTE	
			 Borehole open to approximately Groundwater or water seepage i 4 	18.7 not ei	m depth ncounte	n on corr red durir	pletion. ng drilling		H	205 ami F	Nebo F Iton, On h: (905	Road, Unit 4B tario, L8W 2E1) 383-3733

LOG OF BOREHOLE BHMW122D-23

					L	.0G (OF B	OREHOLE BHMW122	D-23			S	SHEET 2 of 2
Proj	ect No.:	21261						Drill Date: 2023-03-24		Northing	: 43	497477	
Proj	ect Nam	e: Propo	sed Residential Development					Drilling Method: Hollow Stem/Coring		Easting:	-79.	726035	
Loca	ation: 32	/5 Irata	algar Road, Oakville	1	<u> </u>			Datum: Geodetic Elevation	Maintura / Diantinitu	Ground	Surfa	ace Eleva	ation: 178.9
Depth Scale (m)	Stratigraphic Symbol	Depth/Elevation (m)	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts/150 mm	N Value	Penetration / Strength Results Undrained Shear Strength Values (kPa) A 40 80 120 160 Penetration Test Values (Blows / 0.3m) × 20 40 60 80	PL MC LL Moisture / Plasticity Noisture / Plasticity 0 20 30 40	Well Details	Groundwater Conditions	Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm	Comments
- - - 		- - - 168.0 -	TCR = 100% RQD = 100%	11	CORE								
- - - - - 12 -		- - 167.0 - -	TCR = 100% RQD = 91%	12	CORE								
- 		 166.0 165.0	TCR = 100% RQD = 91% UCS = 68.1 MPa	13	CORE								
		- - - 164.0 - -	TCR = 100% RQD = 71%	14	CORE								
- 		 163.0 162.0	TCR = 100% RQD = 71 %	15	CORE						screen		
- 17 - - - - - 18 -		- - - 161.0 - -	TCR = 100% RQD = 82%	16	CORE								
- - 		- - 160.0	End of Log								¥		
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		 159.0 158.0								-			
			Additional Notes: 1. Borehole open to approximately 2. Groundwater or water seepage 3. 4.	, 18.7 not e	m depth ncounter	n on corr red durir	npletion. ng drilling	j.		Ц /	205 ami	DTE 5 Nebo F ilton, On Ph: (905	K LIMITED Road, Unit 4B Itario, L8W 2E1) 383-3733

Proje Proje Loca	ect No.: : ect Name ation: 32	21261 e: Propo 75 Trafa	sed Residential Development IgarRoad,Oakville				0. 0	Drill Date: 2021-08-11 Drilling Method: Solid Stem - Elemen Datum: Geodetic Elevation	nts Drilling	Northing: 43 Easting: -79 Ground Sur	3.496809 9.726672 face Eleva	ation: 178.4
		Su	ubsurface Conditions		Sa	amples	1	Penetration / Strength Results	Moisture / Plasticity			
Depth Scale (m)	Stratigraphic Symbol	Depth/Elevation (m)	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts/150 mm	N Value	Undrained Shear Strength Values ▲ (kPa) ▲ 40 80 120 160 Penetration Test Values × (Blows / 0.3m) × 20 40 60 80	PL MC LL → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → →	Well Details Groundwater Conditions	Headspace / PID (ppm) [LEL(%)] / ppm	Comments
-		- 178.0 —	Organic Material 250 mm Fill	1	SS	5 4 5	9	X	21.0			SS1 - PHCs, VOCs, PAHs_M&L and OC
- - 1 -		- - - 177.0	Clayey silt, trace sand, trace gravel. brown, firm, moist.			5				-		Pesticides
		-	Clayey Silt Till Trace sand, trace gravel. brown, very stiff, moist.	2	SS	5 10 11	21		14.0	_		
- - - - -		- 176.0 - -	Shale Highly weathered, very weak, red, dry.							_		
-		- 175.0 —		3	SS	16 50	50	<u>k</u>	J8.0			
-		_	End of Log									
-4 - -		- - 174.0										
		-								_		
_		173.0 —										
6		-										
-		-										
-		_ 171.0 —										
-8		-										
- -		- 170.0 — -										
9		_										
 - -		169.0 — _ _										
- 10			Additional Notes: 1. Borehole open to approximately 2. Groundwater or water seepage r 3. 4.	3.5 n not er	n depth ncounte	upon co red.	mpletion			LAI 20 Han	NDTE 5 Nebo F hilton, Or Ph: (905	K LIMITED Road, Unit 4B Itario, L8W 2E1) 383-3733

Proj	ect No.:	21261			-		0. 0	Drill Date: 2021-08-11		Northing:	43.4974	433
Proje Loca	ect Name ation: 32	e: Propo 75 Trafa	sed Residential Development algarRoad, Oakville					Drilling Method: Solid Stem - Elemen Datum: Geodetic Elevation	nts Drilling	Easting: - Ground S	79.7260 urface E	99 Elevation: 179
		Sı	ubsurface Conditions		Sa	amples		Penetration / Strength Results	Moisture / Plasticity			
Depth Scale (m)	Stratigraphic Symbol	Depth/Elevation (m)	Description	Number	Type	Blow Counts/150 mm	N Value	Undrained Shear Strength Values ▲ (kPa) ▲ 40 80 120 160 Penetration Test Values × (Blows / 0.3m) × 20 40 60 80	PL MC LL HOISTURE / Plasticity 10 20 30 40	Well Details	Groundwater Conditions Headspace / PID	udd / [(%)]] [LELL(%)] (mdd
-	*****	_	Organic Material 250 mm			5			11.0			
-		-	Fill Silty sand. brown, compact, moist.	1	SS	6 7 13	13		φ 			SS1 - PHCs, VOCs, PAHs, M&I, and OC Pesticides
- 1 -		- 178.0 — -		2	SS	3 3 4	7		10.0	_		
		-	Shale Highly weathered, very weak, red, dry to moist.	3	SS	17 39 50	50	×	6.0			
-2 - - - - - - 3		177.0 — - - 176.0 —								-		
F		-		4	AUG				8.0			
-		_	End of Log					-				
4		- 175.0 —										
E		_										
-		_										
-		- 174 0										
-												
E		_										
-		-										
-6		173.0 -										
F												
F		-										
-7		- 172.0 —								-		
F		-										
F												
\vdash		-										
-8		171.0										
F		-										
È												
-9		170.0 —										
E		-										
F												
╞		-										
- 10		169.0 —	Additional Notes:								ND1	
			 Borehole open to approximately Groundwater or water seepage 4 	3.5 r not ei	n depth ncounte	upon co red.	mpletion			Ha	205 Ne amilton Ph: (bo Road, Unit 4B , Ontario, L8W 2E1 905) 383-3733
											(,

APPENDIX D

ROCK STRENGTH LABORATORY TESTING REULTS





May 29, 2023

Mr. Joey DiCenzo Landtek Limited 205 Nebo Road Hamilton, Ontario Canada, L8W 2E1

Re: UCS and PLT Testing (Landtek Project No. 21261)

Dear Mr. DiCenzo:

On May 15th, 2023, a total of six (6) HQ-sized core samples were received by Geomechanica Inc. via drop-off by Landtek personnel. These samples were identified as being from Landtek project 21261. From these samples, three (3) Uniaxial Compressive Strength (UCS) tests and three (3) Point Load Tests (PLT) were completed.

Details regarding the steps of specimen preparation and testing along with the test results are presented in the accompanying laboratory report and summary spreadsheet.

Sincerely,

Bryan Tatone Ph.D., P. Eng.

Geomechanica Inc. Tel: (647) 478-9767 Email: bryan.tatone@geomechanica.com



Rock Laboratory Testing Results

A report submitted to:

Joey Di Cenzo Landtek Limited 205 Nebo Road Hamilton, Ontario Canada, L8W 2E1

Prepared by:

Bryan Tatone, PhD, PEng Omid Mahabadi, PhD, PEng Geomechanica Inc. #14-1240 Speers Rd. Oakville ON L6L 2X4 Canada Tel: +1-647-478-9767 lab@geomechanica.com

> May 29, 2023 Project number: 21261

Abstract

This document summarizes the results of rock laboratory testing, including 3 Uniaxial Compressive Strength (UCS) tests and 3 Point Load Tests (PLT). The results for each test type are presented in seperate sub-sections herein.

In this document:

1	Uniaxial Compressive Strength Tests	1
2	Point Load Testing	3
A	ppendices	6

Disclaimer:This report was prepared by Geomechanica Inc. for Landtek Limited. The material herein reflects Geomechanica Inc.'s best judgment given the information available at the time of preparation. Any use which a third party makes of this report, any reliance on or decision to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. Geomechanica Inc. accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report.

1 Uniaxial Compressive Strength Tests

1.1 Overview

This section summarizes the results of uniaxial compressive strength testing. The testing was performed in Geomechanica's rock testing laboratory using a 150 ton (1.3 MN) Forney loading frame equipped with pressure-compensated control valve to maintain an axial displacement rate of approximately 0.15 mm/min (Figure 1). The preparation and testing procedure for each specimen included the following:

- 1. Unwrapping the core sample, inspecting it for damage, and re-wrapping it in electrical tape to minimize exposure to moisture and potential damage during subsequent specimen preparation.
- Diamond cutting the core sample to obtain a cylindrical specimen with an appropriate length (length:diameter = 2:1) and nearly parallel end faces.
- 3. Diamond grinding the specimen to obtain flat (within ± 0.025 mm) and parallel end faces (within 0.25°).
- 4. Placing the specimen into the loading frame, applying a 1 kN axial load, and removing the electrical tape.
- 5. Axially loading the specimen to rupture while continuously recording axial force and axial deformation to determine the peak strength (UCS).



Figure 1: Forney loading frame setup for UCS testing.

Using a precision V-block mounted on the magnetic chuck of the surface grinder, test specimens met the end flatness, end parallelism, and perpendicularity criteria set out in ASTM D4543-19. The side straightness criteria, as checked with a feeler gauge, and the minimum length: diameter criteria were met for all specimens unless noted otherwise in Table 1. Testing of the specimens followed ASTM D7012-14 Method C.

Results 1.2

The results of UCS testing are summarized in Table 1. Additional specimen and testing details are provided in the summary spreadsheet that accompanies this report.

Sample	Depth (ft' in")	Bulk density ρ (g/cm ³)	UCS (MPa)	Lithology	Failure description
BH122D-'23-R8	41'2" - 42'0"	2.614	68.1	Red Shale and Limestone	1, 2
BH3-'23-R6	43'1.5" - 43'8.5"	2.564	45.6	Red Shale and Limestone	1
BH4-'23-R4	31'5" - 32'2"	2.587	41.5	Red Shale and Limestone	1

Table 1: Summary of Uniaxial Compression test results.

² Partial hourglass failure

Specimen photographs 1.3

Photographs of the specimens before and after testing are presented in the Appendix of this report.

2 Point Load Testing

2.1 Overview

This section summarizes the results of Point Load Testing (PLT). Tests were performed using a Carver 12ton hydraulic press with point load test platens and equipped with a 0-5000 psi digital pressure gauge with a peak pressure holding capability (Figure 2). Testing was completed on rock core samples. Both axial and diametric tests were performed according to ASTM D5731-16.



Figure 2: Point load tester equipped with digital pressure gauge.

2.2 Results

The results of the PLT tests are summarized in Table 2. Note that the load, *P*, in kN was calculated from the measured peak pressure, as:

$$P = p \times A_{ram} \tag{1}$$

where, p is the peak pressure in kPa and A_{ram} is the effective cross-sectional area of the hydraulic ram in square metres. The effective diameter of the ram of the employed tester was 52 mm.

The uncorrected point load strength (I_s) is calculated as:

$$I_s = \frac{P}{D_e^2} \tag{2}$$

where, D_e is the equivalent core diameter in mm calculated as:

$$D_e^2 = D^2$$
 for diameteral tests (3)

$$=\frac{4A}{\pi}$$
 for axial tests (4)

where D is the distance between platens in mm and A is the minimum cross sectional area of a plane through the platen contact points. The value of A is given by:

$$A = W \times D \tag{5}$$

where *W* is the width of the specimen.

The size correction factor (F) is obtained from the expression:

$$F = \left(\frac{D_e}{50}\right)^{0.45} \tag{6}$$

and the size-corrected point load strength $(I_{s(50)})$ for a core with D = 50 mm was calculated as:

$$I_{s50} = F \times I_s. \tag{7}$$

Table 2: Summary of PLT results.

Sample	Depth (ft' in")	Test type A-axial D-diametric	Distance Between Platens, D (mm)	Failure Load P (kN)	Effective Diameter De (mm)	Uncorrected Point Strength, Strength, I_s (MPa)	Size Correction Factor, F	Size-Corrected Point Load Strength, $I_{s(50)}$ (MPa)
BH2-'23-R3	24'5" - 24'9"	D ^{1, 2}	60.00	0.28	60.00	0.08	1.09	0.08
		D ^{1, 2}	60.00	2.96	60.00	0.82	1.09	0.89
		D ^{1, 2}	60.00	2.43	60.00	0.68	1.09	0.73
		A ^{1, 2}	35.00	5.67	51.92	2.10	1.02	2.14
		A ^{1, 2}	33.00	3.94	50.41	1.55	1.00	1.56
		A ^{1, 2}	28.00	2.31	46.44	1.07	0.97	1.04
		A ^{1, 2}	28.00	4.88	46.44	2.26	0.97	2.19
			Axi	ial Mean		1.75		1.73
			Diametr	ric Mean		0.52		0.57
BH3-'23-R2	23'7" - 24'1.5"	D ¹	61.00	1.41	61.00	0.38	1.09	0.41
		D ¹	61.00	2.64	61.00	0.71	1.09	0.77

Continued on next page

Sample	Depth (ft' in'')	Test type A-axial	Distance Between	Failure Load	Effective Diameter	Uncorrected Point Strength,	Size Correction	Size-Corrected Point Load
		D-diametric	Platens, D (mm)	P (kN)	De (mm)	Strength, I_s (MPa)	Factor, F	Strength, $I_{s(50)}$ (MPa)
		D ¹	61.00	2.11	61.00	0.57	1.09	0.62
		D^{1}	61.00	4.26	61.00	1.15	1.09	1.25
		A ¹	41.00	6.47	56.26	2.04	1.05	2.16
		A ¹	35.00	8.29	51.98	3.07	1.02	3.12
		A ¹	30.00	5.80	48.12	2.50	0.98	2.46
		A ¹	27.00	4.10	45.65	1.97	0.96	1.89
		A^{1}	28.00	1.32	46.49	0.61	0.97	0.59
			Axi	ial Mean		2.04		2.04
			Diametric Mean			0.70		0.76
BH10-'23-R6	33'6.5" - 34'0.5"	D ¹	61.00	1.70	61.00	0.46	1.09	0.50
		D ¹	61.00	0.51	61.00	0.14	1.09	0.15
		D ¹	61.00	1.45	61.00	0.39	1.09	0.43
		D ¹	61.00	2.43	61.00	0.65	1.09	0.71
		D ¹	61.00	1.76	61.00	0.47	1.09	0.52
		A ¹	33.00	4.13	50.44	1.62	1.00	1.63
		A ¹	36.00	4.67	52.68	1.68	1.02	1.72
		A ¹	29.00	5.64	47.28	2.52	0.98	2.46
		A^{1}	29.00	6.53	47.28	2.92	0.98	2.85
		A^{1}	26.00	3.95	44.77	1.97	0.95	1.88
			Axial Mean		2.14		2.11	
			Diametric Mean			0.42		0.46

Table 2 – Summary of PLT results. (continued from previous page)

¹ Queenston Formation - red shale

² Short sample length. Limited testing possible

Appendices

Specimen sheets

- BH122D-'23-R8
- BH3-'23-R6
- BH4-'23-R4



Client	Landtek Limited	Project	21261			
Sample	BH122D-'23-R8	Depth	41'2" - 42'0"			
Specime	en parameters	Prior to testing	After testing			
Diameter (mm) ^a	60.48					
Length (mm) ^a	127.49					
Bulk density ρ (g/cm ³)	2.614		Core Pos			
UCS (MPa)	68.1					
Lithology	Red Shale and Limestone					
Failure description ^b	1, 2		X YASS			
^a Additional specimen measu nying summary spreadsheet. ^b Failure description: ¹ Inclin failure;	rement/details provided in accompa- ned shear failure; ² Partial hourglass					
Remarks: Loading rate: 0.15 mm/min.						
Performed by	GF/AB	Date	2023-05-23			

Uniaxial Compression Test



Project Client Landtek Limited 21261 Sample BH3-'23-R6 Depth 43'1.5" - 43'8.5" After testing Prior to testing Specimen parameters Diameter (mm)^a 60.45 Length (mm)^a 128.97 Bulk density ρ (g/cm³) 2.564 UCS (MPa) 45.6 Lithology Red Shale and Limestone Failure description ^b 1 ^a Additional specimen measurement/details provided in accompanying summary spreadsheet. ^b Failure description: ¹ Inclined shear failure; Remarks: Loading rate: 0.15 mm/min. Performed by GF/AB Date 2023-05-23

Uniaxial Compression Test



Uniaxial Compression Test

Client	Landtek Limited	Project	21261				
Sample	BH4-'23-R4	Depth	31'5" - 32'2"				
Specimo	en parameters	Prior to testing	After testing				
Diameter (mm) ^a Length (mm) ^a Bulk density ρ (g/cm ³) UCS (MPa) Lithology Failure description ^b ^a Additional specimen measu nying summary spreadsheet. ^b Failure description: ¹ Inclin	60.66 128.46 2.587 41.5 Red Shale and Limestone 1 rement/details provided in accompa- ed shear failure;						
Remarks: Loading rate: 0.15 mm/min.							
Performed by	GF/AB	Date	2023-05-23				
APPENDIX E

DRAWING 21261-02 – ENGINEERING COMMENTARIES – GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR DRAINAGE TO BASEMENT STRUCTURES DRAWING 21261-03 – ENGINEERING COMMENTARIES – GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR UNDERFLOOR DRAINAGE SYSTEM





- ① 100 mm, perforated or slotted pipe placed below the upper level of the floor slab.;
- ③ Filter material that is compatible with the grain size characteristics of the fine grained foundation and backfill soils, as well as with the perforations of the pipe;
- Filter material continuously or intermittently placed next to the foundation wall to intercept water draining from window wells, down exterior walls and from low areas near the building;
- ⑤ Damp-proofing on wall optional depending on the quality of the concrete wall;
- Optional use of sheet drain, or synthetic fire blanket, next to the foundation wall to replace the soil filter according to ④;
- Foundation and backfill soils, which may contain fine grained and erosion-susceptible materials;
- Topping off' material is to be graded such that it slopes outwards to lead surface water away from the building. It is usually desirable to use low permeability topsoil to reduce the risk of overloading the drainage pipe.

Based on Figure 12.1, Canadian Foundation Engineers Manual, Fourth Edition, 2006.

Additional Notes:

- 1. The perforated or slotted drainage pipe is to lead to a positive drainage sump or outlet. The invert of the pipe is to be a minimum of 150 mm below the underside of the proposed floor slab.
- 2. Backfill materials to the interior of the foundation walls may be clean, organic-free soils that can be compacted to the specified density within in a confined space.
- 3. Heavy, vibratory compaction equipment should not be used within 450 mm of the foundation wall. Fill is not to be placed or compacted within 1.8 m of the wall unless fill is being placed simultaneously on both sides of the wall.
- 4. The moisture barrier beneath the floor slab is to comprise at least 200 mm of compacted19mm clear stone or an equivalent free-draining material.
- 5. Should the 19 mm clear stone require surface blinding then 6mm stone chips are to be used.
- 6. The slab on grade should not be structurally connected to the foundation wall or footing.

	205 Nebo Road, Unit 3 Hamilton, Ontario L8W 2E1 p: +1 (905) 383-3733 o f: +1 (905) 383-8433 engineering@landteklimited.com www.landteklimited.com	General Requirements for Drainage to Basement Structures			
		client	New Horizon Development Group		
		project	23775 Trafalgar Road, Oakville, Ontario		
		project #	21261	drawing #	21261-02



Notes:

- 1. Drainage tile, if required for permanent dewatering, to consist of 100 mm diameter weeping tile or equivalent perforated pipe leading to a positive sump or outlet, spaced between columns;
- 2. 19 mm clear stone 150 mm top and side of drain. If the drain is not on the footing then place 100 mm of 19 mm clear stone below the drain;
- 3. Wrap the clear stone with an approved filter fabric (e.g., Terrafix 270R or equivalent);
- 4. Moisture barrier to be at least 200 mm of compacted, 19 mm clear stone or equivalent (and approved), freedraining material. A vapour barrier may be required for specialty floor coverings;
- 5. Typically, the slab-on-grade is not structurally connected to the wall or footing. However, if it is connected to the walls it should be designed accordingly;
- Underfloor drain invert, where to be installed, to be at least 300 mm below underside of floor slab. Drainage tile should be placed in parallel rows 6 m to 8 m centres one way. Place drains on 100 mm of 19 mm clear stone and 150 mm of 19 mm clear stone on top and sides. Enclose clear stone with filter fabric as prescribed in Note (3);
- 7. Do not connect any underfloor drainage to perimeter drainage. The two systems are to remain separate.
- 8. Locate solid discharge at the middle of each bay between soldier piles;
- 9. Vertical drainage board (e.g., MiraDrain 6000 or equivalent) with filter cloth should be continuous from bottom to 1.2 m below exterior finished grade;
- 10. The entire subgrade is to be sealed with an approved filter fabric as in Note (3) where non-cohesive (silty/sandy/granular) soils are encountered below the groundwater table;
- 11. Where no permanent dewatering is proposed, the basement walls must be waterproofed below the seasonally highest groundwater level (plus 1.0 m to 1.5 m buffer) using bentonite or an equivalent waterproofing system;
- 12. The Geotechnical Report should be reviewed for site-specific details. Final detail must be approved before system is considered acceptable.

	LANDTEK LIMITED 205 Nebo Road, Unit 3 Hamilton, Ontario L8W 2E1 p: +1 (905) 383-3733 o f: +1 (905) 383-8433 engineering@landteklimited.com www.landteklimited.com	General Requirements for Underfloor Drainage Systems			
		client	New Horizon Development Group		
		project	3275 Trafalgar Road, Oakville, Ontario		
		project #	21261	drawing #	21261-03