

Project No: 22-012-101 November 20, 2024

The Corporation of the Town of Oakville 1225 Trafalgar Road Oakville, ON L6H 0H3

Attention: Paul Barrette & Brian Gregatti

Re: Status of Record of Site Condition

3056 Neyagawa Road, Oakville, Ontario

DS Consultants Ltd. (DS) was retained by NEATT Sixteen Mile Creek Inc. (the Client) to conduct Phase One and Two Environmental Site Assessments (ESAs) in accordance with Ontario Regulation 153/04 (O.Reg. 153/04) in support of the proposed residential redevelopment of the property described as 3056 Neyagawa Road, Oakville, ON.

The Pre-Consultation Comments issued by the Town of Oakville, dated September 25, 2024, indicate that "In accordance with S.147(17) of the Region's Official Plan states that a property is to be free of contamination prior to any development/change of use taking place". DS notes that the Phase One ESA identified fifteen (15) potentially contaminating activities and areas of potential environmental concern, which were subsequently investigated through soil and groundwater investigation within the Phase Two ESA, including analysis of 68 soil samples, and five groundwater samples. The results of the Phase Two ESA indicated that all areas of potential environmental concern identified were investigated for all contaminants of potential environmental concern in the soil and groundwater, and that the results met the applicable Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) Site Condition Standards. A reliance letter pertaining to the ESA reports has been included in this submission.

Subsequent to completion of the Phase Two ESA, the Qualified Person submitted an application to file a Record of Site Condition with the MECP on September 18, 2024 (Reference Number 1000305972), as appended. It is noted that the RSC process typically requires 2 iterations of submission, review and resolution of MECP comments, and is typically a 4 to 6 month process. It is requested by the applicant at this time that *in lieu* of a filed RSC, a conditional OPA/ZBA/Draft Plan of Subdivision approval be granted by the Region and Town at this time tying the RSC requirement to Draft Site Plan Approval, Site Servicing or occupancy. Additional details pertaining to the ESA investigations can be found within the applicable reports.



Sincerely,

DS Consultants Ltd.

Patrick Fioravanti, B.Sc., P.Geo., QP_{ESA}

Vice President - Environmental Services



Appendix A



Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks Ministère de l'Environnement, de la Protection de la nature et des Parcs

BROWNFIELDS RSC based on Phase One and Two ESAs Reference Number: 1000305972

Application Summary

General Information and Instructions

Under Part XV.1 of the EPA, a property owner (or a qualified person on their behalf) may submit an RSC for filing on the Environmental Site Registry if the applicable standards are met for soil, ground water and sediment. An initial assessment (referred to as a "phase one environmental site assessment" (Phase one ESA)) is required to determine the likelihood that contaminants have affected the property. A more detailed assessment (referred to as a "phase two environmental assessment" (Phase two ESA)) may be required to determine the concentration of contaminants on the property.

Qualified persons (QPs) conducting or supervising a phase one ESA, conducting or supervising a phase two ESA, and completing the certifications that must be completed by a QP (also referred to as QP_{ESA}) in an RSC must meet the following qualifications:

- the person holds a licence, limited licence or temporary licence under the Professional Engineers Act; or
- the person holds a certificate of registration under the Professional Geoscientists Act and is a
 practising member, temporary member or limited member of the Association of Professional
 Geoscientists of Ontario.

The QP has the responsibility to conduct various aspects of the ESAs as well as overall responsibility for ensuring the ESAs meet the requirements of O. Reg. 153/04.

The property owner is responsible for making statements in the RSC such as ensuring they have conducted reasonable inquiries to obtain all information relevant to the RSC, they have disclosed all required information to the qualified person, and they have ensured that access to the entire property, including the phase one property, any phase two property and the RSC property, has been afforded to the qualified person and to persons supervised by the qualified person.

For some types of proposed changes of property use, such as from industrial to residential, the filing of an RSC is mandatory. Certification statements set out in an RSC about the environmental condition of a property can only be made by a QP. If a phase two ESA has been conducted for the property, the QP must certify that the property meets the applicable site condition standards prescribed by O. Reg. 153/04 or that the property meets the property-specific standards specified in a risk assessment (RA) that has been accepted by the Director. If the Director accepts an RA, the Ministry may also issue a Certificate of Property Use that requires the owner to take certain risk management actions or refrain from doing certain things at the property.

The filing of an RSC in the Environmental Site Registry can reduce potential liability under certain environmental orders for persons including current and future property owners. This limited protection from orders is only in respect of a contaminant that was discharged into the natural environment before the certification date and was on, in or under the property as of that date. The longer the time period between the certification date and the filing date, the more uncertainty there is about the environmental condition of the property.

Additional information and guidance

For general information on RSCs, visit <u>the ministry's webpage on environmental permissions</u> or <u>brownfields redevelopment</u>.

For additional information on how to go through the RSC form, view the Step-By-Step Record of Site Condition (RSC) Application Guidance Material.

Disclaimer

Accept the following to continue:

By choosing to submit a record of site condition for filing to the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, I verify that I am a qualified person as defined in section 5 of O. Reg. 153/04. I acknowledge that the information I submit to this website will be available for viewing, searching and downloading by the public once the record of site condition is filed to the Environmental Site Registry. I further acknowledge that it is an offence under subsection 184 (2) of the Environmental Protection Act to submit false or misleading information to the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks.

Accept Statement:

Submitting Owner Information

Legal / Business Name NEATT (16 MILE CREEK) INC.

Applicant/Organization Type CRA Business No.

****3600

Contact Person

Last Name First Name

Kernaghan Evan

Job Title Telephone No.

Senior Development Manager (647) 206-2534

Cell No. Fax No.

Email Address

evan.kernaghan@neattcommunities.com

Business Mailing Address Postal/Zip Code Province/State 530 Kipling Avenue (AV) Toronto M8Z 5E3 ONTARIO

Physical Civic Address Postal/Zip Code Province/State 530 Kipling Avenue (AV) Toronto M8Z 5E3 ONTARIO

Qualified Person Information

Qualified Person Information

Qualified Person Last Name Qualified Person First Name

Fioravanti Patrick

Business Name Telephone No. DS Consultants Ltd. (647) 234-5131

Email Address Fax No.

rfioravanti@dsconsultants.ca

Mailing Address

16-6221 Highway 7 Vaughan ON L4H 0L1

Postal/ZIP Code Province/State L4H 0L1 ONTARIO

Qualified Person Professional Qualifications

Professional Geoscientists Membership Type P. Geo. Membership Number

Practising member 2773

Is this information complete and accurate?

RSC Information

RSC Type Selection

All questions in this section are mandatory.

Based on the answer to the previous question, the next question will be generated.

Is the property used, or has it ever been used, in whole or in part for an industrial use or as a garage; a bulk liquid dispensing facility, including a gasoline outlet; or for the operation of dry cleaning equipment?

During the phase one environmental site assessment of the property, was a potentially contaminating activity identified on, in or under the property?

Yes

No

No

Based on your answers, valid RSC Type Options are:

- Record of site condition based on a phase one ESA and a phase two ESA
- Record of site condition based on a phase one ESA, a phase two ESA and a risk assessment

To continue with the current RSC Type selection, please select "Save and Continue"; To change the RSC Type, please click .

Other Owners

Are there any additional owners, including beneficial owners, of the RSC property?

No

Submitting Owner's Agent Information

Is the submitting owner(s) represented by an agent?

No

Receiver Information

Is submitting owner(s) a receiver for the property?

No

Property Information

Site Name

Neatt Neyagawa V1 RSC Property Address

| Primary | Address | Property Identifier No.(s) | Assessment Roll Number(s) |
|---------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Υ | 3056 Neyagawa Boulevard, Oakville/OAKVILLE, ON, L6M 4L6 | 24928-0267 (LT) | 2401010030106500000 |

UTM Coordinates

| Zone * | Easting * | Northing * |
|--------|-----------|------------|
| 17 | 601342 | 4813182 |

Site Selector

Area of RSC property

Total area of RSC property, in hectares

3.76

Municipality Information

Lower or Single Tier Municipality

TOWN OF OAKVILLE

Upper Tier Municipality

REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF HALTON

District Office

MECP District Office and Address Halton-Peel Suite 300, 4145 North Service Road, Burlington ON

Additional Site Information

Is the property administered by the Ministry of Natural Resources under the Public Lands Act?

No

Previously filed RSC. (Link to Registry)

Previously filed transition notice numbers. (Link to Registry)

Are there one or more properties at or below the ground surface that are above or below the RSC property?

No

Environmental Site Assessment Information

Phase One Environmental Site Assessment Report Information

Enter the date the last work was done on the records review, interviews and site reconnaissance components of the phase one ESA report

2024-04-12

ESA Report Table

| Report Type | Title of Report | Date of Report | Author of Report | Name of Consulting Company |
|------------------|---|-------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Phase One ESA | Phase One Environmental Site Assessment, 3056 Neyagawa Boulevard, Oakville, Ontario | 2024-04- 18 | Patrick M. Fioravanti, B.Sc., P.Geo. | DS Consultants Ltd. |

List of reports will automatically be sorted from oldest to most recent.

Other Reports and Documents

List the reports and other documents, other than the report used as your phase one ESA report, relied on in conducting the phase one ESA.

ESA Report Table

| Report Type | e Title of Report | Date of Report | Author of Report | Name of Consulting Company |
|--------------------|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Other Documents | Phase One Environmental Site Assessment, 3056 Neyagawa Boulevard, Oakville, Ontario | 2021-09- 03 | Charna Kozole, P.Eng. | AEL Environment |

List of reports will automatically be sorted from oldest to most recent.

Phase Two Environmental Site Assessment Report Information

Enter the date the last work was done on the planning and conducting the site investigation and reviewing and evaluating the information components of the phase two ESA

2024-04-26

ESA Report Table

| Report Type | Title of Report | Date of Report | Author of Report | Name of Consulting Company |
|----------------|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| Phase | Phase Two Environmental Site | 2024-04- | Patrick M. | DS Consultants |
| Two ESA | Assessment, 3056 Neyagawa | 29 | Fioravanti, B.Sc., | Ltd. |

| Report Type | Title of Report | Date of Report | Author of Report | Name of Consulting Company |
|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | | | |

Boulevard, Oakville, Ontario

P.Geo.

List of reports will automatically be sorted from oldest to most recent.

Other Reports and Documents

List the reports and other documents, other than the report used as your phase two ESA report, relied on in conducting the phase two ESA.

ESA Report Table

| Report Type | Title of Report | Date of Report | Author of Report | Name of Consulting Company |
|--------------------|---|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Other Documents | Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation, 3056 Neyagawa Boulevard, Oakville, Ontario | 2022-01- 20 | Houshang Shad, P.Eng. | Shad & Associates Inc. |
| Other Documents | Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation, 3056 Neyagawa Boulevard, Oakville, Ontario | 2023-08- 17 | Shabbir Bandukwala, P.Eng. | DS Consultants Ltd. |
| Other Documents | Preliminary Hydrogeological Investigation, 3056 Neyagawa Boulevard, Oakville, Ontario | 2023-09- 08 | Martin Gedeon, P.Geo. | DS Consultants Ltd. |

List of reports will automatically be sorted from oldest to most recent.

Certification Date (yyyy/mm/dd)

2023-06-30

NI-----

Selecting the Applicable Site Condition Standards

Is property: No

- (i) Within an area of natural significance? Or does property:
- (ii) Include or is adjacent to an area of natural significance or part of such an area? Or
- (ii) Include land that is within 30 m of an area of natural significance or part of such an area

Soil at the property has:

No

- (i) a pH value for surface soil less than 5 or greater than 9 or
- (ii) a pH value for subsurface soil less than 5 or greater than 11?

Given the characteristics of the property and the certifications required by the QP, is it appropriate to apply section 41 to the property?

No

What is the intended use of the property?

Residential

Is the property a shallow soil property?

No

Does the property include or is the property adjacent to a water body or does it include land that is within 30 metres of a water body?

No

What is the assessment/restoration approach?

Full Depth Generic

What is the ground water condition for the property?

Potable

What is the texture of the soil?

Coarse

Applicable Site Condition Standards

TABLE 2

✓ I certify that

As of 2023-06-30, in my opinion, based on the phase one and phase two environmental site assessments and any confirmatory sampling, the RSC property meets the applicable full depth generic site condition standards prescribed by section 36 of the regulation for all contaminants prescribed by the regulation in relation to the type of property use for which this RSC is filed, except for those contaminants (if any) specified in this RSC at Table 2, Maximum Contaminant Concentrations Compared to Standards Specified in a Risk Assessment.

Qualified Person

Date

PATRICK FIORAVANTI

2024-09-18 at 8:40 AM

CPU Information

Has a Certificate of property use (CPU) been issued under section 168.6 of the Act for the record of site condition property?

No

Environmental Condition

What is the current use of the property?

Residential

Table of Current and Past Uses of the Property

Please upload here or in Supporting Documents Table of Current and Past Uses of the Phase One Property as identified during the Phase One Environmental Site Assessment in a form approved by the Director.

Table of current and past uses of the Phase One property View and Download Template

Attached File

Uploader

Upload Date

Current Past USe V1.PDF

Patrick Fioravanti

2024-09-18 08:45:20.0

Areas of Potential Environmental Concern (APEC) (Mandatory for Phase 2 and Phase 2 with RA) View Form

Please upload here or in Supporting Documents section, the Area(s) of potential Environmental Concern Table as approved by the Director prepared during Phase One ESA.

Table of Area(s) of potential environmental concern View and Download Template

Attached File Uploader Upload Date

APEC_Table_V1.PDF Patrick Fioravanti 2024-09-18 08:47:00.0

Remedial Action and Mitigation Details

Soils Remediation

For any soil originating at and remaining on the RSC property that has been remediated identify the remediation process(es) used and the estimated amount of soil remediated by each process.

Soil Remediation Process Estimated Quantity of Soil Remediated (as measured in or

equivalent to in-ground volume, in cubic metres)

Description of any action taken to reduce the concentration of contaminants (including soil removed) on, in or under the RSC property

Soil Removed and Not Returned

Estimated quantity of soil, if any, removed from and not returned to the RSC property (as measured in or equivalent to in-ground volume, in cubic metres)

Excess Soil Brought to the Property

Estimated quantity of soil, if any, brought to and deposited at the RSC property (as measured in or equivalent to in-ground volume, in cubic metres)

Ground Water Remediation

Prior to Certification Date

Identify and describe any ground water control or treatment measures required for the RSC property prior to the certification date.

After Certification Date

Identify and describe any ground water control or treatment measures that are required for the RSC property after the certification date.

Ground Water Removed

Estimated volume of ground water, in litres, if any, removed from and not returned to the RSC property

Sediment Remediation

Sediment Removed

Estimated quantity of sediment, if any, removed from and not returned to the RSC property (as measured in or equivalent to in-ground volume, in cubic metres)

Other Activities Including Risk Management Measures

Other than activities described above, identify any constructed works that, prior to or after the certification date, were required to control or otherwise mitigate the release or movement of known existing contaminants at the RSC property

Constructed works prior to the certification date

Constructed works after the certification date

Monitoring and Maintenance

Soil monitoring requirements or any requirements for care, maintenance or replacement or any monitoring or control works for known existing contaminants, if any, on the RSC property, after the certification date

Ground water monitoring requirements or requirements for care, maintenance or replacement of any monitoring or control works or known existing contaminants, if any, on the RSC property, after the certification date

Remediated or Removed Soil, Sediment or Ground Water Near RSC Property Boundary

Was any soil, sediment or ground water that is or was located within 3 metres of the RSC property boundary remediated or removed (for the purpose of remediation)?

No

Contaminant Concentrations

General Information Related to Media Investigated

1.1 Is soil present on, in or under the property that is sufficient to investigate, sample and analyze in a manner which will meet the requirements and objectives of a phase two environmental site assessment?

Yes

2. Has ground water sampling been conducted at the property?

Yes

✓ I certify that

Ground water sampling has been conducted in accordance with the regulation by or under the supervision of a qualified person as required by the regulation.

Qualified Person Date

PATRICK FIORAVANTI 2024-09-18 at 8:48 AM

3. Has sediment sampling been conducted at the property?

No

Contaminant Concentrations

Enter the maximum concentration of each contaminant measured for each media investigated, as of the certification date of the RSC, in Table 1 below.

Applicable Site Condition Standards:

TABLE 2

Table 1 - Maximum Contaminant Concentrations Compared to Applicable Site Condition Standards Soil

| Contaminant Name | Unit of Measure | Type of Measurement | Measured Concentration | Applicable Standard |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Benzene, Toluene, Ethyb | enzene, Xyler | ne (BTEX) | | |
| Benzene | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.02 | 0.21 |
| Ethylbenzene | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.005 | 1.1 |
| Toluene | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.005 | 2.3 |
| Xylene Mixture Metals | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.005 | 3.1 |
| Barium | μg/g | Measured | 140 | 390 |
| Beryllium | μg/g | Measured | 1.1 | 4 |
| Boron (total) | μg/g | Measured | 12 | 120 |
| Cadmium | μg/g | Measured | 0.28 | 1.2 |
| Chromium Total | μg/g | Measured | 28 | 160 |
| Cobalt | μg/g | Measured | 17 | 22 |
| Copper | μg/g | Measured | 35 | 140 |
| Lead | μg/g | Measured | 19 | 120 |
| Molybdenum | μg/g | Measured | 0.7 | 6.9 |
| Nickel | μg/g | Measured | 37 | 100 |
| Silver | μg/g | Measured | 0.09 | 20 |
| Thallium | μg/g | Measured | 0.17 | 1 |

| Contaminant Name | Unit of Measure | Type of Measurement | Measured Concentration | Applicable Standard |
|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Uranium | μg/g | Measured | 0.75 | 23 |
| Vanadium | μg/g | Measured | 35 | 86 |
| Zinc Metals, Hydride-Forming (| μg/g As, Se and S | Measured (b) | 82 | 340 |
| Antimony | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.8 | 7.5 |
| Arsenic | μg/g | Measured | 6.8 | 18 |
| Selenium Other Regulated Paramete | μg/g ers | Minimum Detectable | 0.7 | 2.4 |
| Boron (Hot Water Soluble)* | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.5 | 1.5 |
| Chromium VI | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.2 | 8 |
| Cyanide (CN-) | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.051 |
| Electrical Conductivity (mS/cm) | mS/cm | Measured | 0.27 | 0.7 |
| Mercury | μg/g | Minimum | 0.05 | 0.27 |
| Sodium Adsorption Ratio Petroleum Hydrocarbons | unitless (PHCs) | Detectable Measured | 0.5 | 5 |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons F1**** | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 10 | 55 |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons F2 | 2 μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 10 | 98 |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons F3 | β μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 50 | 300 |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons F4 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydro | | Minimum Արթ tectable | 50 | 2800 |
| | • | -, | | |
| Acenaphthene | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 7.9 |
| Acenaphthylene | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.15 |
| Anthracene | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.67 |
| Benz[a]anthracene | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.5 |
| Benzo[a]pyrene | μg/g | Minimum | 0.05 | 0.3 |
| Benzo[b]fluoranthene | μg/g | Pata afaale | 0.05 | 0.78 |

| Contaminant Name | Unit of Measure | Type of Measurement Detectable | Measured Concentration | Applicable Standard |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Benzo[ghi]perylene | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.1 | 6.6 |
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.78 |
| Chrysene | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 7 |
| Dibenz[a h]anthracene | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.06 | 0.1 |
| Fluoranthene | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.69 |
| Fluorene | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.1 | 62 |
| Indeno[1 2 3-cd]pyrene | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.38 |
| Methylnaphthalene, 2-(1-) *** | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.99 |
| Naphthalene | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.6 |
| Phenanthrene | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 6.2 |
| Pyrene Trihalomethanes (THMs) | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 78 |
| Bromodichloromethane | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 1.5 |
| Bromoform | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.27 |
| Chloroform | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Dibromochloromethane Volatile Organic Compou | μg/g nds I (VOCs) | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 2.3 |
| Acetone | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.5 | 16 |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Chlorobenzene | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 2.4 |
| Dichlorobenzene, 1,2- | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 1.2 |
| Dichlorobenzene, 1,3- | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 4.8 |

| Contaminant Name | Unit of Measure | Type of Measurement | Measured Concentration | Applicable Standard |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Dichlorobenzene, 1,4- | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.083 |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 16 |
| Dichloroethane, 1,1- | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.47 |
| Dichloroethane, 1,2- | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Dichloroethylene, 1,1- | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Dichloroethylene, 1,2-cis- | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 1.9 |
| Dichloroethylene, 1,2-trans- | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.084 |
| Dichloropropane, 1,2- | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Dichloropropene,1,3- | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Ethylene dibromide | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Hexane (n) | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 2.8 |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.5 | 16 |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.5 | 1.7 |
| Methyl tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE) | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.75 |
| Methylene Chloride | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.1 |
| Styrene | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.7 |
| Tetrachloroethane, 1,1,1,2- | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.058 |
| Tetrachloroethane, 1,1,2,2- | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Tetrachloroethylene | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.28 |
| Trichloroethane, 1,1,1- | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.38 |
| Trichloroethane, 1,1,2- | μg/g | Minimum | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Trichloroethylene | μg/g | Dataa le | 0.05 | 0.061 |

| Contaminant Name | Unit of Measure | Type of Measurement Detectable | Measured Concentration | Applicable Standard |
|---|-------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Trichlorofluoromethane | μg/g | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 4 |
| Vinyl Chloride Volatile Organic Compou | μg/g inds III (VOCs) | Minimum Detectable | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Bromomethane Table 1 - Maximum Contan | μg/g ninant Concent | Minimum Detectable rations Compared to | 0.05 Applicable Site Condition | 0.05 on Standards |

Potable Ground Water

| Contaminant Name | Unit of Measure | Type of Measurement | Measured Concentration | Applicable Standard |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Benzene, Toluene, Ethybe | enzene, Xylen | e (BTEX) | | |
| Benzene | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.17 | 5 |
| Ethylbenzene | μg/L | Minimum | 0.2 | 2.4 |
| Toluene | μg/L | Detectable Measured | 0.21 | 24 |
| Xylene Mixture Metals | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.2 | 300 |
| Barium | μg/L | Measured | 230 | 1000 |
| Beryllium | μg/L | Minimum | 0.4 | 4 |
| Boron (total) | μg/L | Detectable Measured | 350 | 5000 |
| Cadmium | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.09 | 2.7 |
| Chromium Total | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 5 | 50 |
| Cobalt | μg/L | Minimum | 0.5 | 3.8 |
| Copper | μg/L | Detectable Measured | 1.4 | 87 |
| Lead | μg/L | Minimum | 0.5 | 10 |
| Molybdenum | μg/L | Detectable Measured | 12 | 70 |
| Nickel | μg/L | Measured | 1.9 | 100 |
| Silver | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.09 | 1.5 |
| Thallium | μg/L | Minimum | 0.05 | 2 |
| Uranium | μg/L | Detectable Measured | 5.6 | 20 |
| Vanadium | μg/L | Measured | 0.71 | 6.2 |
| | | | | |

| Contaminant Name | Unit of Measure | Type of Measurement | Measured Concentration | Applicable Standard |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Zinc $\mu g/L$ Measured 9 1100 Metals, Hydride-Forming (As, Se and Sb) | | | | |
| Antimony Arsenic | μg/L μg/L | Measured Measured | 0.57 2.3 | 6 25 |
| Selenium Other Regulated Paramet | μg/L ers | Minimum Detectable | 2 | 10 |
| Chloride | μg/L | Measured | 790000 | 790000 |
| Chromium VI | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.5 | 25 |
| Cyanide (CN-) | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 1 | 66 |
| Mercury Petroleum Hydrocarbons | μg/L (PHCs) | Minimum Detectable | 0.1 | 0.29 |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons F1**** | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 25 | 750 |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons F | 2 μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 100 | 150 |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons F | 3 μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 200 | 500 |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons F4 μg/L Minimum 200 500 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHS) ^{tectable} | | | | |
| Acenaphthene | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 4.1 |
| Acenaphthylene | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 1 |
| Anthracene | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 2.4 |
| Benz[a]anthracene | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 1 |
| Benzo[a]pyrene | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.009 | 0.01 |
| Benzo[b]fluoranthene | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.1 |
| Benzo[ghi]perylene | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.2 |
| Benzo[k]fluoranthene | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.1 |
| Chrysene | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.1 |

| Contaminant Name | Unit of Measure | Type of Measurement | Measured Concentration | Applicable Standard |
|---|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Dibenz[a h]anthracene | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.2 |
| Fluoranthene | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.41 |
| Fluorene | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 120 |
| Indeno[1 2 3-cd]pyrene | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 0.2 |
| Methylnaphthalene, 2-(1-) | μg/L | Minimum | 0.071 | 3.2 |
| Naphthalene | μg/L | Detectable Measured | 0.07 | 11 |
| Phenanthrene | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.03 | 1 |
| Pyrene Sodium (Na) | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.05 | 4.1 |
| Sodium Trihalomethanes (THMs) | μg/L | Measured | 440000 | 490000 |
| Bromodichloromethane | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.5 | 16 |
| Bromoform | μg/L | Minimum | 1 | 25 |
| Chloroform | μg/L | Detectable Measured | 1.3 | 2.4 |
| Dibromochloromethane | μg/L | Minimum | 0.5 | 25 |
| Volatile Organic Compou | nds I (VOCs) | Detectable | | |
| Acetone | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 10 | 2700 |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.2 | 0.79 |
| Chlorobenzene | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.2 | 30 |
| Dichlorobenzene, 1,2- | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.5 | 3 |
| Dichlorobenzene, 1,3- | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.5 | 59 |
| Dichlorobenzene, 1,4- | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.5 | 1 |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.5 | 590 |
| Dichloroethane, 1,1- | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.2 | 5 |
| Dichloroethane, 1,2- | μg/L | Data ajle | 0.5 | 1.6 |

| Contaminant Name | Unit of Measure | Type of Measurement Detectable | Measured Concentration | Applicable Standard |
|--|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| Dichloroethylene, 1,1- | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.2 | 1.6 |
| Dichloroethylene, 1,2-cis- | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.5 | 1.6 |
| Dichloroethylene, 1,2-trans- | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.5 | 1.6 |
| Dichloropropane, 1,2- | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.2 | 5 |
| Dichloropropene,1,3- | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| Ethylene dibromide | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Hexane (n) | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 1 | 51 |
| Methyl Ethyl Ketone | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 10 | 1800 |
| Methyl Isobutyl Ketone | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 5 | 640 |
| Methyl tert-Butyl Ether (MTBE) | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.5 | 15 |
| Methylene Chloride | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 2 | 50 |
| Styrene | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.5 | 5.4 |
| Tetrachloroethane, 1,1,1,2- | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.5 | 1.1 |
| Tetrachloroethane, 1,1,2,2- | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.5 | 1 |
| Tetrachloroethylene | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.2 | 1.6 |
| Trichloroethane, 1,1,1- | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.2 | 200 |
| Trichloroethane, 1,1,2- | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.5 | 4.7 |
| Trichloroethylene | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.2 | 1.6 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | μg/L | Minimum Detectable | 0.5 | 150 |
| Vinyl Chloride Volatile Organic Compour | μg/L ids III (VOCs) | Minimum Detectable | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Bromomethane | μg/L | Minimum | 0.5 | 0.89 |

Contaminant Name

Unit of Measure Type of Measurement

Detectable

Measured Concentration

Applicable Standard

Laboratory Name(s)

Identify the names of the laboratories that were used to analyze samples collected at the property

SGS Canada Inc.

Supporting Documents

Submitting owner type

Cert Status V1.PDF

Firm, corporation or partnership

Certificate of Status or equivalent for the owner

Attached File

Uploader

Upload Date

Patrick Fioravanti

2024-09-18 11:03:22.0

Date Certificate of Status Issued

2024-09-18

Lawyer's letter consisting of a legal description of the property

Attached File

Uploader

Upload Date

Lawyer Letter V1.PDF

Patrick Fioravanti

2024-09-18 09:16:24.0

Copy of any deed(s), transfer(s) or other document(s) by which the record of site condition property was acquired

Attached File

Uploader

Upload Date

Transfer Docs V1.PDF

Patrick Fioravanti

2024-09-18 09:17:05.0

A current plan of survey

Attached File

Uploader

Upload Date

RSC_Survey_V1.PDF

Patrick Fioravanti

2024-09-18 09:18:22.0

Phase Two conceptual site model

Attached File

Uploader

Upload Date

Ph2 CSM V1.PDF

Patrick Fioravanti

2024-09-18 09:19:35.0

QP Certifications and Agreement

Each field marked by an asterisk (*) must be completed.

QP Certifications

The Qualified Person (QP) must review and confirm all the certification statements before signing and submitting the RSC for filing. The QP is responsible for the contents of the RSC, for the accuracy of information in the RSC, for making the certifications in the RSC and for signing the RSC. The QP cannot assign these responsibilities to another person.

As the qualified person, I certify that

- A phase one environmental site assessment of the RSC property, which includes the
 evaluation of the information gathered from a records review, site reconnaissance, interviews,
 a report and any updates as required, has been conducted in accordance with the regulation
 by or under the supervision of a qualified person as required by the regulation.
- A phase two environmental site assessment of the RSC property, which includes the evaluation of the information gathered from planning and conducting a site investigation, a report, and any updates required, has been conducted in accordance with the regulation by or under the supervision of a qualified person as required by the regulation.
- The information represents the site conditions at the sampling points at the time of sampling only and the conditions between and beyond the sampling points may vary.
- As of 2023-06-30, in my opinion, based on the phase one environmental site assessment and
 the phase two environmental site assessment, and any confirmatory sampling, there is no
 evidence of any contaminants in the soil, ground water or sediment on, in or under the RSC
 property that would interfere with the type of property use to which the RSC property will be
 put, as specified in the RSC.
- As of 2023-06-30, the maximum known concentration of each contaminant in soil, sediment
 and ground water at the RSC property for which sampling and analysis has been performed is
 specified in this RSC at Table 1, Maximum Contaminant Concentrations Compared to
 Applicable Site Condition Standards.
- I am a qualified person and have the qualifications required by section 5 of the regulation.
- I have in place an insurance policy that satisfies the requirements of section 7 of the regulation.
- I acknowledge that the RSC will be submitted for filing in the Environmental Site Registry, that
 records of site condition that are filed in the Registry are available for examination by the
 public and that the Registry contains a notice advising users of the Registry who have dealings
 with any property to consider conducting their own due diligence with respect to the
 environmental condition of the property, in addition to reviewing information in the Registry.
- The opinions expressed in this RSC are engineering or scientific opinions made in accordance
 with generally accepted principles and practices as recognized by members of the
 environmental engineering or science profession or discipline practising at the same time and
 in the same or similar location.
- I do not hold and have not held and my employer, if any, does not hold and has not held a direct or indirect interest in the RSC property or any property which includes the RSC property and was the subject of a phase one or two environmental site assessment or risk assessment upon which this record of site condition is based.

- To the best of my knowledge, the certifications and statements in this part of the RSC are true as of 2023-06-30.
- By signing this RSC, I make no express or implied warranties or guarantees.

QP Agreement

- By checking this box, and entering my membership/licence number in this submission, I, a qualified person as defined in section 5 of O. Reg. 153/04, am:
 - a) signing this record of site condition as a qualified person; and
 - b) making all certifications required as a qualified person for this record of site condition, including those set out immediately above under "QP Certifications".

Last Name First Name First Name Patrick

Company Name

DS Consultants Ltd.

Title Date (yyyy/mm/dd)

Vice President - Environmental Services 2024/09/18

Owner Certification

Each field marked by an asterisk (*) must be completed.

Person Certifying Information

To be completed by the Property Owner

As an owner that is a corporation

- I acknowledge that the RSC will be submitted for filing in the Environmental Site Registry,
 that records of site condition that are filed in the Registry are available for examination by the
 public and that the Registry contains a notice advising users of the Registry who have
 dealings with any property to consider conducting their own due diligence with respect to the
 environmental condition of the property, in addition to reviewing information in the Registry.
- I have conducted reasonable inquiries to obtain all information relevant to this RSC, including information from the other current owners of the RSC property named in this part of the RSC and I have obtained all information relevant to this RSC of which I am aware.
- I have disclosed all information referred to in paragraph 2 to any qualified person named in this RSC.
- To my knowledge, the statements made in this part of the RSC are true as of 2024-09-18
- I have ensured that access to the entire property, including the phase one property, any phase two property and the RSC property, has been afforded to the qualified person and to

persons supervised by the qualified person, for purposes of conducting the site reconnaissance.

- a) signing this RSC as an owner;
- b) making all certifications required of the owner of the RSC property for this RSC; and
- c) confirming that I have the authority to bind, and hereby do bind NEATT (16 MILE CREEK) INC.

Owner/Agent agreement

☑ By checking the box, I agree to the above certification statements.

Last Name Vernooy First Name Michael

Company Name

NEATT (16 MILE CREEK) INC.

Title Date (yyyy/mm/dd)

Senior Development Manager 2024/09/18